

Modern Computer Algebra

Addenda and corrigenda for the May 1999 edition

2 February 2004

JOACHIM VON ZUR GATHEN
and
JÜRGEN GERHARD

Universität Paderborn



Page 212

Lemma 8.2 is correct but not general enough to cover its application in Theorem 12.2. If you are interested in that Theorem, you may replace Lemma 8.2 and its proof by:

LEMMA 8.2. *Let $b, c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, $d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $S, T: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be functions with $S(2n) \geq cS(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and*

$$T(1) = d, \quad T(n) \leq bT(n/2) + S(n) \text{ for } n = 2^i \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}.$$

Then for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n = 2^i$ we have

$$T(n) \leq \begin{cases} dn^{\log b} + S(n) \log n & \text{if } b = c, \\ dn^{\log b} + \frac{c}{b-c}S(n)(n^{\log(b/c)} - 1) & \text{if } b \neq c. \end{cases}$$

In particular, if $n^{\log c} \in O(S(n))$, then $T(n) \in O(S(n) \log n)$ if $b = c$, and $T(n) \in O(S(n)n^{\log(b/c)})$ if $b > c$.

PROOF. Unraveling the recursion, we obtain inductively

$$\begin{aligned} T(2^i) &\leq bT(2^{i-1}) + S(2^i) \leq b(bT(2^{i-2}) + S(2^{i-1})) + S(2^i) \\ &= b^2T(2^{i-2}) + bS(2^{i-1}) + S(2^i) \leq \dots \\ &\leq b^iT(1) + \sum_{0 \leq j < i} b^jS(2^{i-j}) \leq d2^{i\log b} + S(2^i) \sum_{0 \leq j < i} \left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^j, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $S(2^{i-j}) \leq c^{-j}S(2^i)$ in the last inequality. If $b = c$, then the last sum simplifies to $S(2^i) \cdot i$. If $b \neq c$, then we have a geometric sum

$$\sum_{0 \leq j < i} \left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^j = \frac{\left(\frac{b}{c}\right)^i - 1}{\frac{b}{c} - 1} = \frac{c}{b-c}(2^{i(\log(b/c))} - 1),$$

and the first claim follows. \square

(29. 11. 2003)

Page 314

line -2, proof of Theorem 12.2: Lemma 8.2 is not general enough to imply the first claim; see the correction for page 212. (MURRAY BREMNER, 29. 10. 2003)

Page 216

line 4, Lemma 8.7: replace $1 < \ell < n$ by $1 \leq \ell < n$ (OLAV GEIL, 27. 10. 2003)

Page 218

line -7: $R[x]$, not $F[x]$ (OLAV GEIL, 27. 10. 2003)

Page 38

line 17: 260, not 26 (OLAV GEIL, 12. 10. 2003)

Page 98 line 7: the reference should be to *Section 3.1* instead of 2.4 (OLAV GEIL, 12. 10. 2003)

Page 237 line 17, Exercise 8.10 (iv): replace $V_1\alpha, V_1\beta$ by V_1f, V_1g (identifying the polynomials f, g with their coefficient vectors) (OLAV GEIL, 12. 10. 2003)

Page 443 line 4, Exercise 15.10 (v): $a_{n,r} = 0$ instead of $a_{nr} = 0$ (HELMUT MEYN, 9. 9. 2003)

line 6, Exercise 15.10 (v): replace $1 \leq k \leq n \leq 8$ by $1 \leq r \leq n \leq 8$ (HELMUT MEYN, 9. 9. 2003)

Page 635 line -8, Exercise 23.4 (iii): This line should read

$$f = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} \frac{(\Delta_h^i f)(0)}{h^i i!} x(x-h) \cdots (x-ih+h),$$

(OLAF MÜLLER, 12. 8. 2003)

Page 723 line -2, References, Schwenter (1636): *Mathematicæ* instead of *Mathematiæ* (8. 8. 2003)

Page 610 line 12: replace the minus by a plus in the product rule (21. 7. 2003)

Page 471 line 2, Notes 16.2 and 16.3: insert *is* after “it” (STEFAN GERHOLD, 16. 7. 2003)

Page 603 line -8, Example 22.6 (continued): The blank entry in row 5, column 4 of the matrix is zero. (29. 6. 2003)

Page 148 line -15, Lemma 6.25: replace $\overline{\text{lc}(f)} \neq 0$ by $\overline{\text{lc}(f)}$ is not a zero divisor (WINFRIED BRUNS, 10. 6. 2003)

Page 146 line -4: replace Gauß’ lemma 6.6 by Corollary 6.10 (HEIKO KÖRNER, 25. 4. 2003)

Page 147 line 8, proof of Corollary 6.21: replace Corollary 6.48 by Corollary 6.15 (HEIKO KÖRNER, 25. 4. 2003)

Page 576 line -3, proof of Theorem 21.18: $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in B$, not $\in A$ (TOM KOORNWINDER, 24. 4. 2003)

Page 202 line -5, Example 7.4 (continued): the Padé approximant is v/u and not u/v (OLGA MENDOZA, 18. 4. 2003)

Page 246 line -8, proof of Theorem 9.4: replace fg_i by fg_{i-1} (TOM KOORNWINDER, 6. 3. 2003)

Page 389 line -6, proof of Theorem 14.49: replace the formula by

$$f_r(x^{n/m}) = \Phi_m(x^{n/m}) = \Phi_n,$$

(TOM KOORNWINDER, 6. 3. 2003)

Page 68 line 6, Lemma 4.4: K is an extension field of F (HEIKO KÖRNER, 19. 2. 2003)

Page 94 line -5, Theorem 5.1: $7n^2 - 7n$ instead of $7n^2 - 8n + 1$ (HEIKO KÖRNER, 19. 2. 2003)
line -1, proof of Theorem 5.1: this formula should read

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < n} 2i = n^2 - n$$

(HEIKO KÖRNER, 19. 2. 2003)

Page 95 lines 1–5, proof of Theorem 5.1: replace this paragraph by:
arithmetic operations. Then for each i , we divide m by m_i , taking $2n - 2$ operations (Exercise 5.3), evaluate m/m_i at u_i , taking at most $2n - 3$ operations since m/m_i is monic, and divide v_i by that value. This amounts to $4n^2 - 4n$ operations for all i . Finally, computing the linear combination (3) takes another $2n^2 - 2n$ operations, and the estimate follows by adding up.

(HEIKO KÖRNER, 19. 2. 2003)

Page 112 line 14: $t = x/2$, not $t = -x/2$ (HEIKO KÖRNER, 19. 2. 2003)

Page 117 line 13: $t = \alpha t_j^*$ instead of $t = \alpha t_j$ (HEIKO KÖRNER, 19. 2. 2003)

Page 119 line 2: $q = 2$ instead of $q = 1$ (HEIKO KÖRNER, 19. 2. 2003)

Page 120 line 11, proof of Lemma 5.29: replace (33) by (34) (HEIKO KÖRNER, 19. 2. 2003)

Page 574 line -13, Example 21.10 (continued): this should read $-(x^2y - x)$, not $-(xy^2 - x)$ (VOLKER KRUMMEL, 19. 2. 2003)

Page 53 line -12: $\ell > 2$ instead of $\ell \geq 2$ (HEIKO KÖRNER, 17. 12. 2002)

Page 54 line 4: add if $n \geq 1$ (HEIKO KÖRNER, 17. 12. 2002)

Page 462 line 12: replace $q^* = q^{**}u + r^{**}$ by $r^* = q^{**}u + r^{**}$ (EUGENE LUKS, 1. 12. 2002)

Page 52 lines 4–5, proof of Lemma 3.10: the formula for s_2 should read

$$s_2 = (s_0 - q_1 s_1)/\rho_2 = (\rho_0^{-1} - q_1 \cdot 0)/\rho_2 = (\rho_0 \rho_2)^{-1} \quad (\text{HEIKO KÖRNER, 28. 11. 2002})$$

Page 15 line -10: this line should read

$$2 \cdot 26^0 + 0 \cdot 26^1 + 4 \cdot 26^2 + 18 \cdot 26^3 + 0 \cdot 26^4 + 17 \cdot 26^5 = 202302466.$$

(HEIKO KÖRNER, 13. 11. 2002)

Page 509 line 1, Notes 18.6: 1997 instead of 1998a (16. 10. 2002)

Page 513 line -2, Research problem 18.28: This research problem was solved in August 2002 by Manindra Agrawal, Neeraj Kayal and Nitin Saxena: primality can be tested deterministically in polynomial time. See

<http://www.cse.iitk.ac.in/news/primality.pdf>. (16. 10. 2002)

- Page 718** line –23, References, Mihăilescu (1998a): the correct year is 1997 (16. 10. 2002)
- Page 101** line –5: see page 132 for a justification of this formula (HUANG YONG, 9. 4. 2002)
- Page 87** line 21, Exercise 4.30 (i): replace $\max\{\nu(f), \nu(g)\}$ by $\min\{\nu(f), \nu(g)\}$ (KATHY SHARROW, 21. 2. 2002)
- Page 85** line 1, Exercise 4.6: f must be monic (ANDREW KLAPPER, 6. 2. 2002)
- Page 154** line –4: replace $M(f) \geq 1$ by $M(f) \geq |\text{lc}(f)|$ (PETER BÜRGISSE, 16. 1. 2002)
- Page 157** line 15, proof of Theorem 6.35: replace 4^n by 4^{n^2} (PETER BÜRGISSE, 16. 1. 2002)
- Page 187** line –23, Notes 6.6: insert *and* $g \mid f$ before “such that” (PETER BÜRGISSE, 16. 1. 2002)
- Page 365** line –4, step 3 of Algorithm 14.13: insert *with input g and i* before “to compute” (PETER BÜRGISSE, 16. 1. 2002)
- Page 412** line –13: the expected cost of step 2 is $O((\beta^2 + M(n) \log n)M(\beta) \log \beta)$ word operations (PETER BÜRGISSE, 16. 1. 2002)
- Page 419** line –1, Algorithm 15.10: insert $\text{lc}(f)$ is not a zero divisor modulo m at the beginning of the line (PETER BÜRGISSE, 16. 1. 2002)
- Page 421** line 13, proof of Theorem 15.11: at most $2n$ (PETER BÜRGISSE, 16. 1. 2002)
- Page 401** line 17, Exercise 14.27 (ii): $w = u/\gcd(u, v^n)$ (MARTIN LOTZ, 15. 1. 2002)
- Page 366** lines 14–15, proof of Theorem 14.14: replace k by r (PETER BÜRGISSE, 21. 12. 2001)
- Page 15** line 9: insert *coprime to $\varphi(N)$* before the comma (BENNO FUCHSSTEINER, 17. 12. 2001)
- line –3: Euler’s theorem only applies when x and N are coprime, but the conclusion $x^* \equiv x \pmod{N}$ is true for any x ; see Exercise 20.5 (BENNO FUCHSSTEINER, 17. 12. 2001)
- Page 85** line –7, Exercise 4.17 (i): replace the last sentence by *Prove that $p_{S,T} = q^{-\#S}(1 - q^{-1})^{\#T}$.* (MARTIN LOTZ, 21. 11. 2001)
- Page 234** line 12: replace this line by
- $$f \cdot g \equiv f(y^{2d-1}, y) \cdot g(y^{2d-1}, y) = h(y^{2d-1}, y) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 2n-2} h_i y^{(2d-1)i} \equiv h \pmod{x - y^{2d-1}},$$
- (MARTIN LOTZ, 21. 11. 2001)
- Page 389** line 9, Lemma 14.47 (ii): replace “if n is odd” by *if $n \geq 3$ is odd* (ABHIJIT DAS, 10. 10. 2001)

- Page 739** line 28, Index, right column: replace Ἰαμβλίχος by Ἰάμβλιχος (ILIAS KOTSIREAS, 13. 8. 2001)
- Page 735** line –8, Index, left column: Κνίδιος, not Κγίδιος (IOANNIS EMIRIS and ILIAS KOTSIREAS, 25. 7. 2001)
- Page 344** lines –11 to –9, Exercise 13.1: The statement is wrong for signals $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For example, the characteristic function of the rational numbers, with $f(t) = 1$ if $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $f(t) = 0$ otherwise, has precisely the rational numbers as periods. (28. 6. 2001)
- Page 236** line 7, Exercise 8.6: replace $n \log n$ by $n^{\log 3}$ (27. 6. 2001)
- Page 431** lines 14–16, proof of Theorem 15.21: replace $n \log \gamma$ by $n\gamma$ twice (20. 6. 2001)
- Page 40** line –10, Exercise 2.10: replace $f =$ by $a =$ (DANIEL PANARIO, 14. 6. 2001)
- Page 67** line –8, Example 4.3: $x^3 - x + 2$ instead of $x^3 - x + 1$ (DANIEL PANARIO, 14. 6. 2001)
- Page 68** line 14, Example 4.5: $x^3 - x + 2$ instead of $x^3 - x + 1$ (DANIEL PANARIO, 14. 6. 2001)
- Page 73** line 11: remove “is unique” (1. 6. 2001)
- Page 157** line 9, proof of Theorem 6.35: $f^*w \equiv bf \pmod p$, not $f^* \equiv bf \pmod p$ (31. 5. 2001)
- Page 161** line 10: replace $O^\sim(n \log A)$ by $O^\sim(n^2 + n \log A)$ (31. 5. 2001)
- Page 173** line 6: replace $2B$ by $2(n+1)^{1/2}B$ (31. 5. 2001)
line 14: $|\sigma_{n_i}^k \sigma_{n_{i-1}}|$ instead of $|\sigma_{n_i}^k \sigma_{n_i-1}|$ (31. 5. 2001)
- Page 182** line –13: replace numerators by denominators (31. 5. 2001)
- Page 304** line –5, proof of Theorem 11.7: replace $t_\ell/\text{lc}(f)$ by $t_\ell/\text{lc}(g)$ (31. 5. 2001)
- Page 68** line 11, Example 4.5: insert *is* before irreducible (29. 5. 2001)
- Page 74** line –2: replace approximate by approximating (29. 5. 2001)
- Page 105** line –8: replace the sentence by *Thus for $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f^{(i)}(u)/i!$ is always an integer.* (29. 5. 2001)
- Page 117** line –4, Theorem 5.26 (iii): $|t_j^*| \leq m/k$ instead of $t_j^* \leq m/k$ (29. 5. 2001)
- Page 118** line 11: $|t_j^*| \leq m/k$ instead of $t_j^* \leq m/k$ (29. 5. 2001)
- Page 121** line –5: insert $\pmod{m_i}$ before “for all i ” (29. 5. 2001)
- Page 693** line –12: the quote is from **Al-Qur'an**, *Sūra 27 al-naml* (28. 5. 2001)
- Page 738** line –13, Index, right column: Caliph *Hārūn al-Rashīd* (هارون الرشيد) (28. 5. 2001)
- Page 754** lines 1–2, end of Index: the correct quote is
 وَ مَا مِنْ غَيْرِهِ فِي السَّمَاوَاتِ وَ الْأَرْضِ إِلَّا فِي كِتَابٍ مُّبِينٍ
The Holy Qur'an (732)
 (28. 5. 2001)

Page 53

lines 3–5: replace these lines by

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{2 \leq i \leq m+1} (n-m+i-1+n-m+i) &= 4m(n-m) + 2 \sum_{0 \leq i < m} (2i+3) \\ &= 4m(n-m) + 2(m^2 - m) + 6m \\ &= 4nm - 2m^2 + 4m. \end{aligned}$$

(24. 5. 2001)

Page 51

line –15: remove once $> n_\ell$ (21. 5. 2001)

Page 123

lines 5–6, Notes 5.5: replace Svoboda & Valach (1955, 1957) by *Svoboda & Valach (1955), Svoboda (1957)* (21. 5. 2001)

Page 725

line –30, References, Svoboda & Valach (1957): the author is only Antonín Svoboda (21. 5. 2001)

Page 81

line –12, Notes 4.5: Exercise 16.7, not 16.6 (9. 5. 2001)

Page 173

line –9: replace (1997) by (1996), also on pages **188** line 22 and **310** line –9. (4. 5. 2001)

Page 716

line –20, References, Lickteig & Roy (1997): the correct year is 1996 (4. 5. 2001)

Page 730

line –6, Index, left column: Bernoulli, Jakob (1654–1705) (3. 5. 2001)

Page 253

line –5, proof of Lemma 9.21: replace p^k by p^{2k} (18. 4. 2001)

Page 307

line –3, Theorem 11.13: replace n, m by $n \geq m$ (11. 4. 2001)

Page 169

line –7, proof of Corollary 6.48: *imply* instead of implies (10. 4. 2001)

Page 567

line –9, Example 21.2: replace this line by

$$(u-x, v-y) = CS = 2SR = (-2u+1, -2v),$$

(9. 4. 2001)

Page 592

line 8, Notes 21.6: replace $g_2 \in I$ by $g_2 \notin I$ (9. 4. 2001)

Page 399

line 10, Exercise 14.16 (i): replace $T(\alpha)$ by $T_m(\alpha)$ twice (4. 4. 2001)

Page 78

Table 4.4, line 3: replace $-1' 01''$ by $-1' 11''$ (MICHAEL NÜSKEN, 20. 3. 2001)

Page 261

line –1: The starting condition is *not* necessary: e.g., $v(\varphi(3)) = 1$, when $\varphi = y^3 - 1$ and v is the 7-adic valuation, but the first iteration of Newton iteration yields $3 - \varphi(3)/\varphi'(3) \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$, so Newton iteration converges. (MICHAEL NÜSKEN, 20. 3. 2001)

Page 263

lines 6–7: $y^3 - 1$, not $x^3 - 1$. Moreover, only the white points in the middle do not converge, since the derivative $\varphi' = 3y^2$ of $\varphi = y^3 - 1$ vanishes modulo 7 and Newton iteration is not applicable. However, the points $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{(7)}$ with $g \equiv 3, 5, 6 \pmod{7}$ converge, despite the fact that they are no roots of φ modulo 7. (MICHAEL NÜSKEN, 20. 3. 2001)

- Page 263** line 9: $4 + 2 \cdot 7 + \dots$ instead of $4 + 2 \cdot 6 + \dots$ (MICHAEL NÜSKEN, 20. 3. 2001)
- Page 361** line -6, Theorem 14.9: remove “an expected number of” (MICHAEL NÜSKEN, 20. 3. 2001)
- Page 511** line 14, Exercise 18.18 (i): replace $x/2\ln x$ by $x/(2\ln x)$ (MICHAEL NÜSKEN, 20. 3. 2001)
- line -10, Exercise 18.20: replace $\gcd(a, p_i)$ by $\gcd(p, p_i)$ (MICHAEL NÜSKEN, 20. 3. 2001)
- Page 456** line 14, Lemma 16.14: $1 < k < i$ instead of $1 \leq k < i$ (THOMAS VIEHMANN, 19. 3. 2001)
- Page 526** line -10: replace $b^2 \bmod N$ by *the least absolute residue of b^2 modulo N* (THOMAS VIEHMANN, 19. 3. 2001)
- Page 530** line 8: this is the expected number of trials for finding one B -number, but we need h of them.
(THOMAS VIEHMANN, 19. 3. 2001)

The following 11 lines should be replaced by:

We need $h+1$ B -numbers, and the expected number k of loop iterations satisfies $k \leq n^{2r}(h+1)$. Plugging this into (4) and using $n < h < B$, we obtain a total cost of

$$O(B^3 + B^2 n^{2r} M(n)) \quad (7)$$

word operations. Ignoring the factor $M(n)$ and equating the logarithms of the two factors $B^2 = e^{n/r}$ and $n^{2r} = e^{2r \ln n}$ gives $r^2 \approx n/(2 \ln n)$, and we set

$$r = \left\lceil \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \ln n}} \right\rceil. \quad (8)$$

Then $B \leq e^{\sqrt{n(\ln n)/2}}$, and using

$$L(N) = e^{\sqrt{\ln N \ln \ln N}}, \quad (9)$$

we obtain the following result by substituting (8) in (7).

THEOREM 19.15.

Dixon’s random squares method factors an integer N with an expected number of $O^\sim(L(N)^{2\sqrt{2}})$ word operations.

- Page 533** line 9: insert *and* $v = ru + s$ before the closing curly brace (THOMAS VIEHMANN, 19. 3. 2001)

- Page 457** line –6: replace this line by

$$D_0 = \|f_1^*\|^{2(n-1)} \|f_2^*\|^{2(n-2)} \cdots \|f_{n-1}^*\|^2 \leq \|f_1\|^{2(n-1)} \|f_2\|^{2(n-2)} \cdots \|f_{n-1}\|^2 \leq A^{n(n-1)},$$
 (EVA MIERENDORFF, 17. 3. 2001)
- Page 494** line –18: remove “or a Carmichael number”. The Fermat test may return “composite” for Carmichael numbers, namely when $\gcd(a, N) > 1$. (EVA MIERENDORFF, 17. 3. 2001)
- Page 539** lines 11–14, Theorem 19.24: the additional condition $\#S \geq 3$ is needed, and the constant c is independent of p and S (EVA MIERENDORFF, 17. 3. 2001)
 lines –5 to –1, Corollary 19.25: the additional condition $\sigma \geq 3$ is needed, and the constant c_1 is independent of p, N , and B (EVA MIERENDORFF, 17. 3. 2001)
- Page 628** line 11: $\log_{2^{64}} n$ instead of $\log_{2^6} n$ (16. 3. 2001)
- Page 714** line –19, References, Lagrange (1759): replace this line by
Taurinensis 1. Œuvres, publiées par J.-A. SERRET, vol. 1, 1867, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1–20.
 (16. 3. 2001)
- Page 500** line –4: replace $|M| \leq e^{B/6} < B$ by $|M| \leq \lfloor e^{B/6} \rfloor \leq B$ (THOMAS VIEHMANN, 11. 3. 2001)
- Page 503** line –10: insert *distinct* before “odd” (THOMAS VIEHMANN, 11. 3. 2001)
- Page 540** lines 5–7: replace these lines by
$$\left(1 - \frac{M}{N^3}\right)^m \leq \left(1 - \frac{sc_1}{\ln p}\right)^m \leq \left(1 - \frac{sc_1}{\ln C}\right)^m \leq e^{-msc_1/\ln C} \leq \varepsilon,$$
 when we choose $m \geq \ln(1/\varepsilon)/(\ln C)/(sc_1)$, where c_1 is as in the previous corollary. (THOMAS VIEHMANN, 11. 3. 2001)
- Page 550** line –9: replace “nonconstant polynomials $g, h \in F[x]$ ” by *polynomials* $g, h \in F[x]$ of degree at least 2 (THOMAS VIEHMANN, 11. 3. 2001)
- Page 575** lines –7 and –6, proof of Lemma 21.15: replace this sentence by *There is at least one term $q_i x^{\alpha_i}$ in which x^β occurs, and then $x^{\alpha_i} \mid x^\beta$.* (THOMAS VIEHMANN, 11. 3. 2001)
- Page 585** lines –9 and –8: a $g^{**} \in G$ such that $\text{lt}(g^{**}) \mid \text{lt}(g^*)$. Since G is minimal, we have $\text{lt}(g) = \text{lt}(g^*) = \text{lt}(g^{**}) \in \text{lt}(G^*)$, ... (THOMAS VIEHMANN, 11. 3. 2001)
- Page 472** line –12, Exercise 16.7: The definition of the Hermite normal form is wrong. In addition to being lower triangular, the Hermite normal form is required to have positive diagonal entries, and the entries below the diagonal must be reduced modulo the diagonal element in the same column. These additional assumptions make the Hermite normal form of a nonsingular square matrix with integer entries unique. Algorithm 16.26 only computes a lower triangular

matrix, but not necessarily the Hermite normal form. However, it is easy to compute the Hermite normal form from any lower triangular matrix: multiply some rows by -1 to make all diagonal elements positive if necessary, and then reduce the elements below the diagonal modulo the diagonal element in each column. (4. 2. 2001)

Page 473 line 2, step 5 of Algorithm 16.26: *row index* instead of column index (4. 2. 2001)

Page 637 line -13, Exercise 23.14: $f \sim g$ instead of $f \equiv g$ (4. 2. 2001)

Page 684 line 3: *Chapter 3* instead of Chapter 2 (EMRULLAH DURUCAN, 15. 1. 2001)

Page 153 lines 10 and 11: replace $\deg_y w = \deg_y v > \deg_y h$ by $\deg_x w = \deg_x v > \deg_x h$ (DAVID GOLDBERG, 14. 11. 2000)

Page 520 lines -11 and -10: replace these lines by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(s) &= \sum_{j \geq 1} \text{prob}(s \geq j) \leq 1 + \sum_{j \geq 0} e^{-j^2/2p} \leq 2 + \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2/2p} dx \\ &\leq 2 + \sqrt{2p} \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} dx = 2 + \sqrt{\frac{p\pi}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

(OLAF MÜLLER, 1. 9. 2000)

Page 193 line -7, Exercise 6.45: remove *and with max-norm* $\|f\|_\infty, \|g\|_\infty \leq A$ (25. 8. 2000)

Page 194 line 3, Exercise 6.45 (iii): insert *if f, g are in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ with max-norms at most A* at the end of the sentence (25. 8. 2000)

Page 495 line -3: replace “algorithms” by *algorithm* (FRIEDRICH EISENBRAND, 16. 8. 2000)

Page 496 lines 15–19: The argument that $0 \notin I$ can be simplified by noting that $(-1)^m = -1 \neq 1$ (FRIEDRICH EISENBRAND, 16. 8. 2000)

Page 237 line 10, Exercise 8.9 (ii): replace DFT_ω^{-1} by $\text{DFT}_{\omega^{-1}}$ (MICHAEL NÖCKER, 9. 6. 2000)

Page 132 line -17: replace \mathbb{Q} by $\mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$

line -15: replace $b_i \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ by $b \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, and $\gcd(a_0, \dots, a_n) = 1$ by $\gcd(a_0, \dots, a_n, b) = 1$

(DANIEL LAUER, 22. 5. 2000)

Page 176 line 5, Theorem 6.55: Replace $0 \leq i \leq \ell$ by $2 \leq i \leq \ell$. Moreover, the definition of subresultants and the proof of the theorem have to be modified so that $\deg f < \deg g$ is allowed and the k th subresultant is defined for $k < \deg f$ or $k < \deg g$ as well, similarly to Lemma 6.25. (DANIEL LAUER, 22. 5. 2000)

Page 192 line -16, Exercise 6.36 (i): replace $\mathbb{Q}[y]$ by $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ (DANIEL LAUER, 22. 5. 2000)

- Page 194** line –4, Exercise 6.49 (ii): the sentence should read: *Prove that both the numerator and the denominator of α_i are absolutely at most $(2B)^i$.* (DANIEL LAUER, 22. 5. 2000)
- Page 121** line –11: replace $g_{i,2}$ by $g_{i,2}^*$ (OLAF MÜLLER, 15. 5. 2000)
- Page 42** line –3, quote by Augustus de Morgan: *writers* instead of “writings” (16. 4. 2000)
- Page 216** line –2: $b \cdot (\omega^g - 1)$ instead of $b \cdot (w^g - 1)$ (16. 4. 2000)
- Page 735** line 31, Index, left column: the correct Greek spelling of Euclid’s name is Εὐκλείδης (16. 4. 2000)
- Page 639** lines –9 and –8, Exercise 23.29 (iii): Replace nonconstant by *nonzero*. Moreover, it is not true that this representation is unique. For example, for $a = x$ and $b = x^2 - 1$, both $(r,s,u,v) = (1,x+1,1,x-1)$ and $(r,s,u,v) = (1,x-1,x,1)$ satisfy the conditions.
line –4, Exercise 23.29 (iv): Both implications are wrong. A counterexample for the “if” direction is $a = x + 1$ and $b = x$, where in fact $(r,s,u,v) = (1,1,1,x)$ is the unique representation as in (iii), but there do not exist polynomials c, d such that $\Delta(c/d) = a/b = 1 + 1/x$, by Lemma 23.5. A counterexample for the “only if” part is $a = 2x + 1$ and $b = 1$, where $(r,s,u,v) = (2x+1,1,1,1)$ is the unique representation as in (iii), and for $c = x^2$ and $d = 1$ we have $\Delta(c/d) = a/b$.
However, the following is true: there exist nonzero coprime monic polynomials $c, d \in F[x]$ such that $E(c/d) = a/b$ if and only if $r = s = 1$ for all representations as in (iii), and in fact $(r,s,u,v) = (1,1,d,c)$ is the unique representation in this case (see S. A. ABRAMOV and M. PETKOVŠEK (2001), Canonical Representations of Hypergeometric Terms, *Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics (FPSAC01)*, to appear.)
line –2, Exercise 23.29 (v): replace “the extended” by *an extended* (SERGEĬ ABRAMOV, 22. 3. 2000)
- Page 216** line –3: replace $m = nt/g$ by $m = n/tg$ (11. 3. 2000)
- Page 548** lines 20/21: *parametrized* instead of *parameterized* (11. 3. 2000)
- Page 286** line –7, step 4 of Algorithm 10.14: replace $r_1 \text{ rem } m_r - 1$ by $r_1 \text{ rem } m_{r-1}$ (SEYED HESAMEDDIN NAJAFI, 3. 3. 2000, and RUCHIRA DATTA, 5. 9. 2000)
- Page 289** line –16, step 3 of Algorithm 10.22: call Algorithm 10.20, not 10.9 (SEYED HESAMEDDIN NAJAFI, 3. 3. 2000)
- Page 387** line –13, Corollary 14.44: *uniformly* instead of *uniform* (25. 2. 2000)
- Page 65** line –3: replace $\{f \bmod n : f \in R\}$ by $\{f \bmod m : f \in R\}$ (SEYED HESAMEDDIN NAJAFI, 16. 2. 2000)

- Page 82** lines –10 and –9, Notes 4.6: the title of al-Khwārizmī’s book is *al-kitāb al-mukhtaṣar fī hisāb al-jabr wa-l-muqābala* (DANIEL MÜLLER, 15. 2. 2000)
- Page 272** line 22, Notes 9.4 and 9.5: *Muhammad* instead of *Muhammad* (DANIEL MÜLLER, 15. 2. 2000)
- Page 689** line –17, quote by al-Kāshī in the Introduction: the correct title of al-Kāshī’s book is *miftāḥ al-hisāb, The key to computing* (DANIEL MÜLLER, 15. 2. 2000)
- Page 690** line 27, quote by al-Khwārizmī in Chapter 4: the title of al-Khwārizmī’s book is *al-kitāb al-mukhtaṣar fī hisāb al-jabr wa-l-muqābala* (DANIEL MÜLLER, 15. 2. 2000)
- Page 741** line 15, Index, left column: al-Khwārizmī, Abū Ja‘far Muḥammad bin Mūsā (ابو جعفر محمد بن موسى الخوارزمي) (DANIEL MÜLLER, 15. 2. 2000)
- Page 60** line –17, Algorithm 3.14: replace $a \geq b > 0$ by $a, b > 0$ (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 122** line –14, Notes 5.3: replace “the use” by *to use* (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 164** line –12, Example 6.41: *pink curve* instead of *blue curve* (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 170** line 7: replace $(u_{n+m-k-1}, \dots, u_k)$ by $(u_{n+m-k-1}, \dots, u_k)^T$ (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 171** lines –2 and –1, continuation of Example 6.1: replace these two lines by
 $S[4] := \text{submatrix}(S[3], 1 \dots 1, [2]);$
- $$S_4 := \begin{bmatrix} 216 \end{bmatrix}$$
- (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 172** line 8, continuation of Example 6.1: replace 824 by 216 (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 178** line –10: $n^2 \log_{2^{64}} B$ instead of $n^2 \log_{2^{64}} B$ (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 216** line –5, proof of Lemma 8.7: replace “ $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $s\ell + tn = g$ ” by $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $u\ell + vn = g$ (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 217** lines 1 and 2, proof of Lemma 8.7: replace s and t by u and v , respectively (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 249** line 8, Theorem 9.12: replace $\deg p < l \deg p$ by $\deg f < l \deg p$ (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 282** line –10, step 4 of Algorithm 10.5: replace $r_1(u_n - 1)$ by $r_1(u_{n-1})$ (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000, and SEYED HESAMEDDIN NAJAFI, 3. 3. 2000)
- Page 285** line 6, step 1 of Algorithm 10.11: replace u_0, \dots, u_{n-1} by $x - u_0, \dots, x - u_{n-1}$ (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)

- Page 297** line –11, Example 11.2: replace $3x$ by $3x^2$
 line –8: replace $3x^5$ by $3x^6$
 (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 300** line –7, continuation of Example 11.2: replace $3x$ by $3x^2$ (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 365** line –15, Algorithm 14.13: replace “a prime power” by *an odd prime power*
 (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 420** line 2, Algorithm 15.10: replace s^*t^* by s^*g^* (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 453** Table 16.3, line 2: – row 1 instead of + row 1
 line 4: –3 row 1 instead of – row 1
 (DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 35** line 16, step 1 of Algorithm 2.4: replace b by $|b|$ (ANDREAS OESTERHELT, 9. 2. 2000)
- Page 230** line –6: 2^{64} -ary instead of 64-adic (MICHAEL NÜSKEN, 25. 1. 2000)
- Page 295** line –10: replace l by ℓ (10 times) (MICHAEL NÜSKEN, 25. 1. 2000)
- Page 17** lines 1–2: $l + 1$ times instead of “ l times” and factor of $l + 1$ instead of “factor of l ” (ANDREAS OESTERHELT, 18. 1. 2000)
- Page 327** line –17, Formula (10): $F^{\mathbb{N}}$ instead of F^n (HELMUT MEYN, 14. 1. 2000)
- Page 319** line –2: replace c_j by f_j (HELMUT MEYN, 13. 1. 2000)
- Page 320** line 2: replace c_j by f_j (HELMUT MEYN, 13. 1. 2000)
- Page 184** Figure 6.5: replace “heuristic with $u = 2^n$ ” by heuristic with u a power of 2.
 Moreover, the caption should be changed to:
Various gcd algorithms in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ for pseudorandom polynomials of degree $2n - 2$ with nonnegative coefficients less than $n2^{2n}$, for $1 \leq n \leq 32$ and for $32 \leq n \leq 4096$. (see also the description of the experiments on page 183) (THOMAS LÜCKING, 7. 1. 2000)
- Page 185** Figure 6.6: the caption should be changed to:
The small primes modular gcd algorithm in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ of NTL for various pseudorandom polynomials of degree $2n - 2$ with 2k-bit coefficients. Accordingly, the input size is $4n \cdot k$, and all labels on the horizontal axis of the diagram should be multiplied by 4 (see also the description of the experiments on page 183)
 (THOMAS LÜCKING, 7. 1. 2000)
- Page 8** line 2: the first quote is actually due to Arthur C. Clarke (1972) (JEFFREY SHALLIT, 3. 1. 2000)
- Page 689** line –15, quote attributed to Paul Theroux in Chapter 1: actually this quote is due to **Arthur Charles Clarke** (*1917), can be found in his *Report on Planet Three and Other Speculations*, ch. 14: Technology and the Future, Harper & Row, New York, Evanston, San Francisco, London, 1972, p. 139, and is called *Clarke's Third Law* (JEFFREY SHALLIT, 3. 1. 2000)

- Page 47** line –12: insert *for* $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ after integers (THOMAS LÜCKING, 17. 12. 1999)
- Page 69** line –12, step 2 of Algorithm 4.8: replace twice b_{i-1} by b_{i+1}
line –2: replace this line by
- $$8^{13} \equiv ((8^2 \cdot 8)^2)^2 \cdot 8 \equiv ((-4 \cdot 8)^2)^2 \cdot 8$$
- (ANDREAS HIRN, 14. 12. 1999)
- Page 165** lines 8–10: replace “of algebraic extensions” by *in an algebraic extension E* and replace $(\alpha + \beta, \beta) \in F^2$ by $(\alpha + \beta, \beta) \in E^2$ (ANDREAS HIRN, 14. 12. 1999)
- Page 520** line 4: $\gcd(x_t - x_{t+l}, N)$ instead of $\gcd(x_{t+l} - x_l, N)$ (ANDREAS HIRN, 14. 12. 1999)
- Page 193** line –15, Exercise 6.44 (i): $\|a_i\|_\infty$ instead of $|a_i|$ (THOMAS LÜCKING, 9. 12. 1999)
- Page 544** line –14, Exercise 19.10: $-S_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{q^i}^\times$ instead of $-S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{q^i}^\times$ (HELMUT MEYN, 9. 12. 1999)
- Page 217** line –2: replace c by h (ANDREAS BESCHORNER, 3. 12. 1999, and DIRK JUNG, 11. 2. 2000)
- Page 181** line 7: replace “number” by *polynomial* (30. 11. 1999)
- Page 509** line –16, Exercise 18.4 (i): Since $\gcd((N-1)/2, p-1) = 2$, the statement is wrong. (HELMUT MEYN, 23. 11. 1999)
- Page 436** Figure 15.9: the last two abort degrees **46372** and **47536** should be interchanged (13. 11. 1999)
- Page 215** line 16: insert *(unless R is the trivial ring {0})* before the period (4. 11. 1999)
- Page 569** line 13: replace f, g, h by f, g, h^* (MICHAEL BARNETT, 1. 11. 1999)
- Page 570** line 1: $\{u_1, \dots, u_d\}$ instead of $\{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ (MICHAEL BARNETT, 1. 11. 1999)
- Page 572** line 6, Definition 21.7 (i): $c_\alpha x^\alpha$ instead of $c_\alpha x^\alpha$ (MICHAEL BARNETT, 1. 11. 1999)
- Page 586** lines –5 and –4: replace these two lines by

$$\begin{aligned} S(f_1, g_3) \operatorname{rem} (f_1, f_2, g_3) &= \frac{1}{3}uy^2 - v^2x - v^2 \operatorname{rem} (f_1, f_2, g_3) = 0, \\ S(f_2, g_3) \operatorname{rem} (f_1, f_2, g_3) &= \frac{1}{3}uy^2 - v^2x + 2v^2 - vy \operatorname{rem} (f_1, f_2, g_3) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

(MICHAEL BARNETT, 1. 11. 1999)

- Page 656** line 12: *multiple of g_1 , not of g* (MICHAEL BARNETT, 28. 10. 1999)
- Page 215** line 15: replace $b \in R$ by *nonzero $b \in R$* (MICHAEL BARNETT and KEVIN PERRY, 26. 10. 1999)
- Page 217** line 12, Lemma 8.8: insert *as defined on page 67* at the end of the line (MICHAEL BARNETT, 26. 10. 1999)
- Page 670** line 13: replace $\{r \bmod i : r \in R\}$ by $\{r \bmod I : r \in R\}$ (MICHAEL BARNETT, 26. 10. 1999)
- Page 504** line –15: It is not known whether the inclusion $\mathcal{BPP} \subseteq \mathcal{NP} \cap \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ holds true. The best known upper bound appears to be $\mathcal{BPP} \subseteq \mathcal{MA} \subseteq \Sigma_2^p \cap \Pi_2^p$. (MICHAEL NÜSKEN and MITCH HARRIS, 23. 9. 1999)
- Page 687** Figure 25.3: It is not known whether the inclusion $\mathcal{BPP} \subseteq \mathcal{NP} \cap \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ holds true. The best known upper bound appears to be $\mathcal{BPP} \subseteq \mathcal{MA} \subseteq \Sigma_2^p \cap \Pi_2^p$. (MICHAEL NÜSKEN and MITCH HARRIS, 23. 9. 1999)
- Page 519** line –2: *prime divisor of N instead of p* (MANTSINKA MATOOANE, 18. 9. 1999)
- Page 522** line 2: *Since $y_i = x_{2i}$ for all i , not $y_{2i} = x_i$* (MANTSINKA MATOOANE, 18. 9. 1999)
- Page 12** Figure 1.4: *right angles instead of straight* (26. 8. 1999)
- Page 231** line 5: *2^t th root of unity instead of t th root of unity* (26. 8. 1999)
- Page 737** line –5, Index, right column: Glover, Roderick Edward (ROD GLOVER, 30. 7. 1999)
- Page 732** line –8, Index, right column: Corless, Robert Malcolm (ROB CORLESS, 26. 7. 1999)
- Page 455** line 8, proof of Lemma 16.12 (ii): $\mu_{ij} - \lambda\mu_{jj}$ instead of $\mu_{ij} - \lambda\mu_{ij}$ (THOMAS LÜCKING, 22. 7. 1999)
- Page 245** Figure 9.1: the blue formula for the tangent should be replaced by
- $$y = \varphi'(g_i) \cdot z + \varphi(g_i) - \varphi'(g_i)g_i$$
- (HELMUT MEYN, 20. 7. 1999)
- Page 731** line 20, Index, left column: Brauer, Alfred Theodor (DON KNUTH, 30. 6. 1999)
- Page 447** line –4, Definition 16.1: replace “The vectors f_1, \dots, f_n ” by *If these vectors are linearly independent, then they* (15. 6. 1999)
- Page 81** line 11: replace (1996) by (1997), also on pages **210** line 9, **272** line 17, **316** line 10, and **330** line 14. (ARNOLD SCHÖNHAGE, 3. 6. 1999)
- Page 235** line 5, Notes 8.3: add *Schönhage showed that n -bit integers can be multiplied on random access machines (with cost m to access an m -bit address) using $O(n \log n)$ word operations (see Knuth (1998), §4.3.3 C).* (ARNOLD SCHÖNHAGE, 3. 6. 1999)

- Page 272** line 15, Notes 9.1: replace $3.75 M(n)$ by $2.9375 M(n)$ (ARNOLD SCHÖNHAGE, 3. 6. 1999)
- Page 330** line 11, Notes 12.1: replace 2.609 by 2.548 (ARNOLD SCHÖNHAGE, 3. 6. 1999)
- Page 691** line 3, quote by Arnold Schönhage in Chapter 8: replace “(*1935)” by (*1934) (ARNOLD SCHÖNHAGE, 3. 6. 1999)
- Page 702** line 17, References, Bürgisser, Clausen & Shokrollahi: the correct year is 1997 (ARNOLD SCHÖNHAGE, 3. 6. 1999)
- inside back cover** Radix conversion takes time $O(M(n) \log n)$, according to Theorem 9.15. For the special case of Taylor expansion, as in Corollary 9.16, Aho, Steiglitz & Ullman (1975) give an $O(M(n))$ algorithm; see also Schönhage, Grotefeld & Vetter (1994), page 284. (ARNOLD SCHÖNHAGE, 3. 6. 1999)
- Page 700** line –25, References, Berggren, Borwein & Borwein: the year (1997) is missing (1. 6. 1999)
- Page 326** line 6, Theorem 12.15: In fact, the cost is $2nc(A) + O(kn^2)$ with storage for $2n^2$ field elements, and $3kn c(A) + O(kn^2)$ with linear storage (ERICH KALTOFEN, 31. 5. 1999)
- Page 328** line –16, Theorem 12.18: In fact, the expected cost is $2nc(A) + O(n^2)$ with storage for $2n^2$ field elements, and $6nc(A) + O(n^2)$ with linear storage (ERICH KALTOFEN, 31. 5. 1999)
- Page 469** line 2: replace “no solution is known” by *no direct “sparse” solution is known, but the arithmetic circuit and black box representations discussed below solve the problem* (ERICH KALTOFEN, 31. 5. 1999)
- Page 475** line –1, Exercise 16.17: replace the last sentence in parenthesis by *As mentioned in Section 16.6, one can factor polynomials in random polynomial time both in the arithmetic circuit and in the black box representation* (ERICH KALTOFEN, 31. 5. 1999)
- Page 702** line 11, References, Buchberger & Winkler: the year (1998) is missing (ERICH KALTOFEN, 31. 5. 1999)
- Page 390** line 14, proof of Lemma 14.50: replace $q^d - 1 = \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$ by $q^d - 1 = \#\mathbb{F}_{q^d}^\times$ (HELMUT MEYN, 30. 5. 1999)
- line –5: replace the factor $(x^2 + 2 + 1)$ by $(x^2 + x + 1)$ (HELMUT MEYN, 30. 5. 1999)
- Page 535** line –2: replace $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_q$ by $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ (HELMUT MEYN, 30. 5. 1999)
- Page 701** line 27, References, Brassard & Bratley (1996): *Practice instead of Preactice* (HELMUT MEYN, 30. 5. 1999)
- Page 361** line 15, step 1 of Algorithm 14.8: **if** $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ instead of **if** $a \in F$ (HELMUT MEYN, 29. 5. 1999)

- Page 374** line –17: a_{n-1}, \dots, a_0 instead of $a_{n-1}, \dots, 0$ (HELMUT MEYN, 29. 5. 1999)
- Page 517** line 5: the factored number is $2^{599} - 1$ (PAUL ZIMMERMANN, 27. 5. 1999)
- Page 543** line –23: Exercise 19.1 is about the 159-digit factor N of $2^{599} - 1$, from page 517 (PAUL ZIMMERMANN, 27. 5. 1999)
- Page 91** Figure 5.3: the arrow pointing down left and marked “lifting” should be replaced by a vertical down arrow “modular computation $R/\langle p \rangle \rightarrow R/\langle p \rangle$ ” plus a horizontal arrow “lifting $R/\langle p^l \rangle \leftarrow R/\langle p \rangle$ ” (MICHAEL CLAUSEN, 25. 5. 1999)
- Page 101** lines –8 through –4: insert *The entries $a_{ij}^{(1)} = a_{ij}$ are the entries of the original matrix A.* The inequality on line –7 should read “ $|a_{ij}| \leq b_1$ ”, the formula on line –5 becomes
- $$b_k \leq 2b_{k-1}^4 \leq 2^{1+4}b_{k-2}^{4^2} \leq \dots \leq 2^{1+4+\dots+4^{k-2}}b_1^{4^{k-1}} = 2^{(4^{k-1}-1)/3}b_1^{4^{k-1}},$$
- and the formula on line –4 should read “ $n^2\lambda(b_1) \approx n^2\log_{2^{64}}b_1$ ”. (MICHAEL CLAUSEN, 25. 5. 1999)
- Page 305** line 2: replace the bound by $(10M(n) + O(n))\log n$ (25. 5. 1999)
- Page 451** line 8: The formula in the proof of Theorem 16.6 should read:

$$\left| \det \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix} \right| = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} f_1^* \\ \vdots \\ f_n^* \end{pmatrix} \right| = \|f_1^*\| \cdots \|f_n^*\| \leq \|f_1\| \cdots \|f_n\|.$$

(MICHAEL CLAUSEN, 25. 5. 1999)

- Page 750** line 12, Index, left column: Shokrollahi, Mohammad Amin (MICHAEL CLAUSEN, 25. 5. 1999)
- Page 128** line 24, Exercise 5.35: The f as required is a (one-dimensional) cubic spline, not a Bézier curve. A two-dimensional cubic spline, for example, interpolating a set of points $(x_0, y_0), \dots, (x_n, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, is obtained by applying the exercise twice, once with $u_i = i$ and $v_i = x_i$ for all i , and once with $u_i = i$ and $v_i = y_i$ for all i . This yields a parametric curve $(x(t), y(t))$ for $0 \leq t \leq n$ such that for each $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are fixed cubic polynomials in t on the interval $[i, i+1]$. We can rewrite these two polynomials as a Bézier curve

$$(x(t), y(t)) = (x_i, y_i) \cdot (i+1-t)^3 + P_i \cdot 3(t-i)(i+1-t)^2 + Q_i \cdot 3(t-i)^2(i+1-t) + (x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) \cdot (t-i)^3$$

on the interval $[i, i+1]$, where $P_i, Q_i \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are **control points**. In this form, $(x(t), y(t))$ is a (cubic) Bézier spline interpolating $(x_0, y_0), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ and with control points $P_0, Q_0, \dots, P_{n-1}, Q_{n-1}$. (20. 5. 1999)

- Page 33** line 14, Algorithm 2.3: replace the summation range $0 \leq i \leq m$ by $0 \leq i \leq n$ (11. 5. 1999)
- Page 35** line 13, Algorithm 2.4: $b = (-1)^t \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} b_i 2^{64i}$ (THOMAS LÜCKING, 10. 5. 1999)
line 17, step 2 of Algorithm 2.4: replace the summation range $0 \leq i \leq m$ by $0 \leq i \leq n$ (THOMAS LÜCKING, 10. 5. 1999)
- Page 44** line -14, Definition 3.3: $u \in R$ instead of $b \in R$ (THOMAS LÜCKING, 10. 5. 1999)
- Page 49** line -12, proof of Lemma 3.9: replace this line by
- $$Q_i \begin{pmatrix} r_{i-1} \\ r_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \rho_{i+1}^{-1} & -q_i \rho_{i+1}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r_{i-1} \\ r_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_i \\ (r_{i-1} - q_i r_i) \rho_{i+1}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_i \\ r_{i+1} \end{pmatrix},$$
- (THOMAS LÜCKING, 10. 5. 1999)
- Page 98** line 5, Algorithm 5.4: there is a linebreak missing before c_i (THOMAS LÜCKING, 10. 5. 1999)
- Page 106** line 3, Equation (14): u_i instead of u (THOMAS LÜCKING, 10. 5. 1999)
- Page 218** line 13, Example 8.10: $3x^3 + 4x + 2$ instead of $3x^2 + 4x + 2$ (THOMAS LÜCKING, 10. 5. 1999)
line -2: insert *is* after this (THOMAS LÜCKING, 10. 5. 1999)
- Page 415** line 18: insert *if p does not divide the discriminant of f* after quadratic (10. 5. 1999)
- Page 210** line -16: replace “inside front cover” by *inside back cover*, also on pages **232**
line -10, **281** line 13, **357** line -8, **411** line 10, **494** line 19, **519** line 6, and **600**
line 7 (7. 5. 1999)
- Page 355** line -6: *A* instead of *An* (MICHAEL NÖCKER, 6. 5. 1999)
- Page 638** line 10, Exercise 23.19: replace “hypergeometric terms are” by *the set of hypergeometric terms is* (6. 5. 1999)
line -7, Exercise 23.24: $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ instead of $Q[x]$, similarly $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ instead of $F[x]$ on
line -6 (6. 5. 1999)
- Page 182** line -5: The address of the NTL homepage has changed and is now
<http://www.shoup.net/ntl/> (2. 5. 1999)
- Page 272** line 8: The address of Victor Shoup’s homepage has changed and is now
<http://www.shoup.net> (2. 5. 1999)
- Page 621** line -5, proof of Theorem 23.12: replace this and the following line by *If we let $f_{i+1} = g_i$ for $1 \leq i < m$, then (13) proves that f_1 in (12) is indeed a polynomial, and (F1) and (F2) are satisfied for (f_1, \dots, f_m) .* (30. 4. 1999)
- Page 636** line 12, Exercise 23.8: The text of this exercise contains several typos; see the
solutions for a corrected version. (30. 4. 1999)

- Page 637** line 6, Exercise 23.10: for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. (30. 4. 1999)
- Page 638** line –17, Exercise 23.23: $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, and neither of the two sums is hypergeometric (30. 4. 1999)
- Page 639** line 8, Exercise 23.28: replace $\deg f > 0$ by $\deg g > 0$ (30. 4. 1999)
- Page 635** line –4, Exercise 23.5 (i): $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ instead of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ (23. 4. 1999)
- Page 662** line –5, Exercise 24.3: Replace the sentence by *Prove (6) for all nonnegative integers $n \geq w \geq s$ by double induction on w and n .* (23. 4. 1999)
- Page 513** line –4, Exercise 18.27 (iv): replace $\text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ by $\mathcal{NP} \cap \text{co-}\mathcal{NP}$ (22. 4. 1999)
- Page 547** line 7: The “ElGacryp” should be removed (22. 4. 1999)
- Page 556** line –20, Exercise 20.3 (iii): insert *, and assume that r is coprime to $\text{char } F$* before the period (22. 4. 1999)
- Page 607** line 3, Exercise 22.5: The text of this exercise contains some errors; see the solutions for a corrected version (22. 4. 1999)
- Page 445** lines 7–8, Exercise 15.26: replace the sentence after the comma by *with monic $h_1, \dots, h_k \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ that are squarefree and pairwise coprime modulo p , and $h_k \neq 1$.* (13. 4. 1999)
- Page 545** line –5, Exercise 19.18 (iv): $\mathcal{E}(X)$ should be replaced by $\mathcal{E}(|X|)$ (13. 4. 1999)
- Page 512** line 7, Exercise 18.21 (ii): add (ii) after Corollary 18.12 (12. 4. 1999)
- line 16, Exercise 18.23 (i): this line should be replaced by
- $$\left(\frac{ab}{N} \right) = \left(\frac{a}{N} \right) \left(\frac{b}{N} \right), \quad \left(\frac{a}{MN} \right) = \left(\frac{a}{M} \right) \left(\frac{a}{N} \right)$$
- (12. 4. 1999)
- Page 543** line –2, Exercise 19.5 (iii): replace $0 \leq i \leq k$ by $1 \leq i \leq k$ (12. 4. 1999)
- Page 544** line 6, Exercise 19.8: Three positive integers (12. 4. 1999)
- Page 106** line 8: $v_1 = f(1) + f'(1)(x - 1) = 1$ (DAVID THEIWES, 9. 4. 1999)
- Page 512** line 6, Exercise 18.21 (i): replace “ $O(n^4 \log^2(nB))$ and $O(n^4 \log^2(nA))$ ” by $O(n^4 \log(nB) \log\log(nB) + n^3 \log^2(nB))$ and $O(n^3 m \log^2(nA))$ (9. 4. 1999)
- Page 510** line –20, Exercise 18.12 (i): replace the whole sentence after “Carmichael number N ” by *Your algorithm should take a confidence parameter $c \in \mathbb{N}$ as additional input, such that each factor in the output is prime with probability at least $1 - 2^{-c}$, and it should use an expected number of $O((c + \log N) \log N \cdot M(\log N))$ word operations.* (29. 3. 1999)
- Page 472** line 16, Exercise 16.3: $f \star g$ instead of $(f \star g)(x)$ (20. 3. 1999)
- line 19, Exercise 16.3 (ii): replace the last sentence by *(The resulting polynomials are the monic associates of the first four **Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind**).* (20. 3. 1999)

- Page 473** line -5, Exercise 16.9: $\mathbb{R}h_{i-1}$ instead of $\mathbb{R}h_{n-1}$ (20. 3. 1999)
- Page 474** line 5, Exercise 16.12: the text of this exercise contains several errors; see the solutions for a corrected version (20. 3. 1999)
- Page 391** line 8, Equation (12): this line should read
- $$i \sim j \iff \exists l \in \mathbb{Z}: iq^l = j$$
- (9. 3. 1999)
- Page 425** line -15, Theorem 15.18: this should read $O(\mathbf{M}(n) \log r \cdot \mathbf{M}(l \log m))$; similarly on line -13 (8. 3. 1999)
- Page 442** line 3, Exercise 15.3: insert *the monic polynomial* after of (8. 3. 1999)
- line 20, Exercise 15.8: add *if p does not divide its discriminant* (8. 3. 1999)
- Page 443** line 10, Exercise 15.13: insert *the monic polynomial* after “Suppose that” (8. 3. 1999)
- Page 444** line -23, Exercise 15.21: replace $f - gh$ by $gh - f$
- line -20, Exercise 15.21 (ii): insert *such that $\text{lc}(f)$ is a unit modulo m* after $m \in R$
- (8. 3. 1999)
- line -8, Exercise 15.25 (iii): remove *and only if*. See the solutions for a correct version of this claim.
- line -5, Exercise 15.25 (iv): remove *conclude*
- (8. 3. 1999)
- Page 389** line 10, Lemma 14.47 (iii): replace “if k and n are coprime” by *if k is a prime not dividing n* (5. 3. 1999)
- Page 397** line -10, Exercise 14.6 (i): replace this formula by
- $$\gcd\left(\prod_{a \leq d < b} (x^{q^d} - x), f\right)$$
- (5. 3. 1999)
- line -7, Exercise 14.6 (i): replace the formula by
- $$\gcd\left(\prod_{a \leq d < b} (x^{q^b} - x^{q^{b-d}}), f\right)$$
- (5. 3. 1999)
- Page 401** line -7, Exercise 14.30 (iii): insert (iv) after Exercise 14.27 (5. 3. 1999)
- Page 403** line -17, Exercise 14.42: The text of the exercise contains some typos; see the solutions for a corrected version. (5. 3. 1999)
- Page 514** lines 16–17, quote by Maurice Kraïtchik: add translation *The equation $x^2 - y^2 = N$ is of paramount importance in the factorization problem* (5. 3. 1999)

- Page 375** line –6: insert *operations* before “in R ” (4. 3. 1999)
- Page 402** lines 6–7, Exercise 14.32 (i): Every *monic* polynomial … a squarefree *monic* polynomial h . (4. 3. 1999)
line 16, Exercise 14.35: replace $O(n \log d)$ by $O(\mathbb{M}(d) + n \log d)$ (4. 3. 1999)
- Page 714** line 14, References, Krylov (1931): определяются should be replaced by определяются (26. 2. 1999)
- Page 290** line –13, Exercise 10.4 (ii): Use $\ln x \leq x - 1$ for all *positive* $x \in \mathbb{R}$ … (19. 2. 1999)
- Page 291** line –20, Exercise 10.6: *Suppose* instead of suppose (19. 2. 1999)
- Page 332** lines 9–10, Exercise 12.10 (i): insert *over F* after β and also after $E^{\mathbb{N}}$ (19. 2. 1999)
line 11, Exercise 12.10 (ii): *F -linear map* instead of linear map (19. 2. 1999)
- Page 401** line 15, Exercise 14.27: insert *monic* before irreducible (19. 2. 1999)
- Page 690** line –6, quote by Michael Crichton in Chapter 7: insert *Reprinted with kind permission of Alfred A. Knopf Incorporated, New York, and Random House, Inc., New York.* (19. 2. 1999)
- Page 691** line 1, quote by Richard Feynman in Chapter 8: the following should be inserted after “New York”: *and Random House UK Limited, London* (19. 2. 1999)
- Page 692** line 23, quote by Maj Sjöwall and Per Wahlöö in Chapter 18: insert *Reprinted with kind permission of Norstedts Förlag AB, Stockholm.* (19. 2. 1999)
line –31, quote by Richard Feynman in Chapter 19: the following should be inserted after “New York”: *and Random House UK Limited, London* (19. 2. 1999)
- Page 723** line –17, References, Schubert (1793): the correct pages are 172–186 (19. 2. 1999)
- Page 193** line 6, Exercise 6.41: $0 \leq k \leq \deg g$ should be replaced by $0 \leq k < \deg g$ (5. 2. 1999)
- Page 398** line 22, Exercise 14.11 (iii): *Hint: \mathbb{F}_q^\times is cyclic* (Exercise 8.16). (5. 2. 1999)
line –13, Exercise 14.14: see Exercise 18.16 (5. 2. 1999)
- Page 399** line –25, Exercise 14.17: The text of the exercise contains several typos; see the solutions for a corrected version. (5. 2. 1999)
- Page 528** line 8, Proof of Lemma 19.14, first paragraph: insert *(Exercise 14.8)* before the end of the sentence (5. 2. 1999)
- Page 174** line 6, Theorem 6.53 (ii): even C^{m+2} is correct, by the solution to Exercise 6.47 (29. 1. 1999)

- Page 175** line –2, Theorem 6.54 (ii): even $(m + 2)$ is correct, by the solution to Exercise 6.48 (29. 1. 1999)
- Page 239** line –20, Exercise 8.24 (ii): the parenthesis should be closed after the word *algorithm* (29. 1. 1999)
- Page 240** line –22, Exercise 8.30: The text of the exercise contains several typos; see the solutions for a corrected version. (29. 1. 1999)
- Page 241** line –7, Exercise 8.36 (ii): the (i) should be removed (29. 1. 1999)
- Page 338** line –15, Example 13.3: the displayed equation should read as follows.

$$\widehat{f}(1) = -\pi i = -\widehat{f}(-1), \quad \widehat{f}(10) = -\frac{1}{10}\pi i = -\widehat{f}(-10).$$

(29. 1. 1999)

- Page 740** line –23, Index, right column: Kalorkoti, Kyriakos (*Καλορκότη, Κυριάκος*) (KYRIAKOS KALORKOTI, 29. 1. 1999)
- Page v** line 3: *Cappuccino* instead of Cappucino (WERNER KRANDICK, 28. 1. 1999)
- Page 40** line 10, Exercise 2.4: picoseconds should be *nanoseconds* ($= 10^{-9}$ sec.) (WERNER KRANDICK, 28. 1. 1999)
- Page 742** line 20, Index, right column: Lloyd, Daniel Boone, Jr. (DANIEL BRUCE LLOYD, 28. 1. 1999)