

# The electronic health card, summer 2008

MICHAEL NÜSKEN, DANIEL LOEBENBERGER

## 2. Exercise sheet

Prepare exercises 2.3, 2.4 for the tutorial on Tuesday, 22 April!

Hand in solutions until Monday, 28 April 2008.

**Exercise 2.1** (Playing with modular arithmetic).

(5 points)

Consider the *additive group*  $\mathbb{Z}_N^+ := (\mathbb{Z}_N, +)$  of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_N = (\mathbb{Z}_N, +, \cdot)$  of integers modulo  $N$  and the *unit group*  $\mathbb{Z}_N^\times := (\mathbb{Z}_N^\times, \cdot)$  of the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_N = (\mathbb{Z}_p, +, \cdot)$  of integers modulo  $N$ . Compute (fast):

- (i)  $17 + 13$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{21}^+$ . 0
- (ii)  $17 \cdot 5$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ . 0
- (iii)  $-5$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{15}^+$ . 1
- (iv)  $5^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{19}^\times$ . 2
- (v)  $5^{17} := \underbrace{5 \cdot \dots \cdot 5}_{17}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{19}^\times$ . 1
- (vi)  $17 \cdot 5 := \underbrace{5 + \dots + 5}_{17}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}^+$ . (Note that there is *no* multiplication available!) 1

**Exercise 2.2** (Science).

(6+5 points)

- (i) Count the number of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_4^\times$ , in  $\mathbb{Z}_9^\times$ , and in  $\mathbb{Z}_{25}^\times$ , respectively. 1  
Do you recognize a pattern? Can you prove your guess? +1
- (ii) Prove that there are exactly 40 invertible elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_{100}$ . 2
- (iii) Prove with the help of Euler's theorem and Fermat's little theorem that we have the equation 3

$$3^{3^{160}} = 3 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{101}.$$

- (iv) Prove that we have the equation +4

$$3^{2^{160}} = 3^{76} \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_{101}.$$

**Exercise 2.3** (More on the Extended Euclidean Algorithm). (6+8 points)

Integers: We can add, subtract and multiply them. And there is a division with remainder: Given any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $b \neq 0$  there is a quotient  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and a remainder  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = q \cdot b + r$  and  $0 \leq r < |b|$ . (We write  $a \text{ quo } b := q$ ,  $a \text{ rem } b := r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If we want to calculate with the remainder in its natural domain we write  $a \bmod b := r \in \mathbb{Z}_b$ .) Using that we give an answer to the problem to find  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $sa + tb = 1$ . Allowed answers are: "There is no solution." or "A solution is  $s = \dots$  and  $t = \dots$ " Any answer needs a proof (or at least a good argument).

We start with one example: Consider  $a = 35 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b = 22 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Our aim is to find  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $sa + tb$  is positive and as small as possible. By taking  $s_0 = 1$  and  $t_0 = 0$  we get  $s_0a + t_0b = a$  (identity<sub>0</sub>) and by taking  $s_1 = 0$  and  $t_1 = 1$  we get  $s_1a + t_1b = b$  (identity<sub>1</sub>). Given that we can combine the two identities with a smaller outcome if we use  $a = q_1b + r_2$  with  $r$  smaller than  $b$  (in a suitable sense); namely we form  $1(\text{identity}_0) - q_1(\text{identity}_1)$  and obtain

$$\underbrace{(s_0 - q_1s_1)}_{=:s_2}a + \underbrace{(t_0 - q_1t_1)}_{=:t_2}b = \underbrace{a - q_1b}_{=:r_2}.$$

We arrange this in a table and continue with identity<sub>1</sub> and the newly found identity<sub>2</sub> until we obtain 0. This might be one step more than you think necessary, but the last identity is very easy to check and so gives us a cross-check of the entire calculation. For the example we obtain:

$i$	$r_i$	$q_i$	$s_i$	$t_i$	comment
0	$a = 35$		1	0	$1a + 0b = 35$
1	$b = 22$	1	0	1	$0a + 1b = 22, 35 = 1 \cdot 22 + 13$
2	13	1	1	-1	$1a - 1b = 13, 22 = 1 \cdot 13 + 9$
3	9	1	-1	2	$-1a + 2b = 9, 13 = 1 \cdot 9 + 4$
4	4	2	2	-3	$2a - 3b = 4, 9 = 2 \cdot 4 + 1$
5	<b>1</b>	4	<b>-5</b>	<b>8</b>	$-5a + 8b = 1, 4 = 4 \cdot 1 + 0$
6	0		22	-35	$22a - 35b = 0$ , DONE, check ok!

We read off (marked in blue) that  $1 = -5a + 8b$  and the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  is 1. This implies that  $8 \cdot 22 = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{35}$ , in other words: the multiplicative inverse of 22, often denoted  $22^{-1}$  or  $\frac{1}{22}$ , in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_{35}$  of integers modulo 35 is 8. (Brute force is no solution! That is, guessing or trying all possibilities is not allowed here!)

1

- (i) Find  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $s \cdot 17 + t \cdot 35 = 1$ .

- 1 (ii) Find  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $s \cdot 14 + t \cdot 35 = 1$ .

Actually, there are other things which can be added, subtracted, multiplied, and allow a division with remainder. For example, univariate polynomials with coefficients in a field form a *euclidean ring*. A concrete example is the ring  $\mathbb{F}_2[X]$  of univariate polynomials with coefficients in the two element field  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . (The elements of  $\mathbb{F}_2$  are 0 and 1, addition and multiplication are modulo 2, so  $1 + 1 = 0$ . The expression  $1 + X + X^3 + X^4 + X^8$  is a typical polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ; note that the coefficients know that '1 + 1 = 0' where they live. It's square is  $1 + X^2 + X^6 + X^8 + X^{16}$ , any occurrence of 1 + 1 during squaring yields 0.)

- (iii) Find  $s, t \in \mathbb{F}_2[X]$  such that  $s \cdot (1 + X) + t \cdot (1 + X + X^3 + X^4 + X^8) = 1$ . 4

To know why the EEA works prove the following statements. [Notation: We assume that the first column contains *remainders*  $r_i$ , the second column *quotients*  $q_i$  and the other two *coefficients*  $s_i$  and  $t_i$ . The top row has  $i = 0$ , and the bottom row (the first with  $r_i = 0$  and thus the last one) is row  $\ell + 1$ . There is no  $q_0$  and no  $q_{\ell+1}$ ,  $r_0 = a$ ,  $r_1 = b$ . A division with remainder produces  $q_i, r_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $r_{i-1} = q_i r_i + r_{i+1}$  with  $0 \leq r_{i+1} < |r_i|$  ( $0 < i < \ell$ ).]

- (iv) For any row in the scheme we have  $r_i = s_i a + t_i b$  ( $0 \leq i \leq \ell + 1$ ). +1
- (v) For any two neighbouring rows in the scheme we have that the greatest common divisor of  $r_i$  and  $r_{i+1}$  is the same ( $0 \leq i \leq \ell$ ). [A step leading there is  $\gcd(r_i, r_{i+1}) = \gcd(r_{i-1}, r_i)$ .] +2
- (vi) The greatest common divisor of  $r_\ell$  and 0 is  $r_\ell$ . +1
- (vii) We have  $|r_{i+1}| < |r_i|$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ), so the algorithm terminates. +1
- (viii) We have  $|r_{i+1}| < \frac{1}{2}|r_{i-1}|$  ( $2 \leq i \leq \ell$ ), so the algorithm is fast, ie.  $\ell \in \mathcal{O}(n)$  when  $a, b$  have at most  $n$  bits, ie.  $|a|, |b| < 2^n$ . +1
- (ix) Put everything together and prove: +2

**Theorem.** *The EEA computes given  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with at most  $n$  bits with at most  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$  bit operations the greatest common divisor  $g$  of  $a$  and  $b$  and a representation  $g = sa + tb$  of it. In case  $g = 1$  we thus have a solution of the equation  $1 = sa + tb$ . In case  $g > 1$  there is no such solution.*

[Hint: A single multiplication or a single division with remainder of  $n$  bit numbers needs at most  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  bit operations.]

**Exercise 2.4** (Euler totient function).

(0 points)

Euler totient function is defined by

$$\varphi: \begin{array}{l} \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}, \\ N \longmapsto \#\mathbb{Z}_N^\times. \end{array}$$

Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  be a prime number and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ . Prove:

- (i) If  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  is prime then  $\varphi(p) = p - 1$ .
- (ii) If  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  is prime and  $e \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$  then  $\varphi(p^e) = p^{e-1}(p - 1)$ .
- (iii) If  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$  then  $\varphi(m \cdot n) = \varphi(m) \cdot \varphi(n)$ .