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## Differential cryptanalysis

- Biham and Shamir, 1991
- Chosen plaintext attack
- Goal: Determine the secret key
- Exploits mapping properties of differences within DES

The DES "F" function: notation


The set of possible inputs to an Sbox

- The set of possible inputs for given input- and output differences for Sbox j:

$$
\mathrm{IN}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}}: \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

- Important observation: Not every input difference can produce every output difference

The set of possible inputs to an Sbox


$$
\mathrm{B}^{\prime}=\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{B}^{*}=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{K})+\left(\mathrm{E}^{*}+\mathrm{K}\right)=\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{E}^{*}=\mathrm{E}^{\prime}
$$

- The input difference of the Sboxes of a round does not depend on the round key
- Important observation: $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{j}} \in \operatorname{IN}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}{ }^{\prime}\right)$
$\operatorname{IN}(110100,0100)=\{010011,100111\}$

$$
\operatorname{IN}\left(\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}}: \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

## The set of all keys that are possible

- The set of possible input values

$$
\operatorname{IN}\left(B_{j}^{\prime}, C_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{B_{j}: S_{j}\left(B_{j}\right)+S_{j}\left(B_{j}+B_{j}^{\prime}\right)=C_{j}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

- The set of possible keys:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Test}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}^{*}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}}: \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}} \in \operatorname{IN}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\prime}\right)\right\} \\
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Given E, E* and C', we can narrow down the key space
Attack on 3 rounds of DES
The differences can be expressed
as follows, if $\mathrm{R}_{0}^{\prime}=0$ :
$\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{L}_{0}+\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{R}_{0}, \mathrm{~K}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{3}\right)$
The set of possible values for $\mathrm{K}_{3}$
can be determined:
test $\mathrm{L}_{6}{ }^{\prime}+\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{3}\right)+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{*}, \mathrm{~K}_{3}\right)$


## Attack on 3 rounds of DES

- Last round key can be determined by using several pairs of plaintexts with $\mathrm{R}_{0}^{\prime}=0$ :
- Key is in intersection of the sets of possible values
- Then we know 48 bits of the 56-bit key
- Remaining 8 key bits: try out all possibilities



## Probability in differential cryptanalysis

- Frequentist definition: probability denotes the relative frequency of occurrence of a certain outcome of an experiment, when repeating the experiment.
- Experiment: encrypt 1 pair of plaintexts under 1 key
- Repeating: different plaintexts and/or different key
- Standard description of the differential attack assumes: different plaintexts, same key
- Most theory assumes: different key
- Implicit ergodicity assumption



## Attack on 6 rounds of DES

-3-round characteristic:

| $L_{0}^{\prime}=40080000$ | $R_{0}^{\prime}=04000000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $L_{1}^{\prime}=04000000$ | $R_{1}^{\prime}=00000000$ |
| $L_{2}^{\prime}=00000000$ | $R_{2}^{\prime}=04000000$ |
| $L_{3}^{\prime}=04000000$ | $R_{3}^{\prime}=40080000$ |
| $p_{1}=0.25$ |  |
| $p_{2}=1$ |  |
| $p_{3}=0.25$ |  |


Attack on 6 rounds of DES

## Wrong pairs

- 15 out of 16 times, the pair doesn't follow the characteristic
- 10 out of these 15 times we get at least one empty test
- We can filter this pair
- $5 / 15$ of the wrong pairs can't be filtered $\Rightarrow$ random key suggestions $=$ noise
- Keys in test set are suggested keys
- After some time the right key should be among the most suggested values


## Signal-to-noise ratio

- Let $\alpha=$ average number of keys in test set
- $\beta=$ fraction of unfiltered wrong pairs



## Security against differential attacks

- Make prediction of differences difficult
- Ensure that there are no high-probability characteristics
- Compute bounds for existing ciphers
- Design ciphers with low bounds on the probability
- Design ciphers with easily computable bounds


## Computing bounds for DES

- Done by determining the best characteristics
- A* algorithm: branch and prune, depth-first
- Determine iteratively the best characteristic over $1,2,3, \ldots$ rounds
- Prune: if cost of current path over $t$ rounds + cost of best path over (R-t)-rounds $\geq$ cost of currently best path over $R$ rounds, then abandon the current path



## Results for DES

- The best characteristics over 8 rounds or more, are iterative characteristics
- Two values for A possible
- With 3 active S-boxes
- Probability = $1 / 234$ for every two rounds



## Differential strengthening of DES

- The S-box design criteria (+ expansion) ensure that iterative characteristics have at least 3 active S-boxes
- Any re-ordering of the S-boxes would increase the probability of the best characteristic
- DES designers knew about differential cryptanalysis
- On the other hand, it is possible to find S-boxes that behave better in this respect


## Technical problems

Computing the probability

1. Characteristics and differentials
2. Independence of rounds


## Characteristics and differentials

$A^{\prime}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(A^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)= \\
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\ldots \\
& =\Sigma_{B^{\prime}} \Sigma_{C^{\prime}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

( $A^{\prime}, D^{\prime}$ ): differential
( $\left.\mathrm{A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}, \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)$ : characteristic (trail, path)

## Characteristic and differential probabilities

- $\operatorname{Pr}\left(A^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right) \geq \operatorname{Pr}\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$
- Computing $\operatorname{Pr}\left(A^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$ is more difficult than computing $\operatorname{Pr}\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$
- In a 'weak' cipher, usually one characteristic dominates the probability: $\operatorname{Pr}\left(A^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right) \approx \operatorname{Pr}\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$
- In many ‘strong' ciphers: open problem


## Markov cipher

- Definition: cipher such that over one round:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mid \mathrm{X}\right)
$$

## Hypothesis of stochastic equivalence

- $\mathrm{EDP} \approx \mathrm{E}\left[\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)\right]$
- Given 1 pair with input difference $A^{\prime}$, the probability that it has differences $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$, and $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$
- With X: input value
- Obviously, Pr here is computed over different keys
- Definition of EDP:
$\operatorname{EDP}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right) \times \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right) \times \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)$
- Fundamental Theorem: $\operatorname{EDP}\left(A^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)$ equals 'probability' if all rounds use independent keys.

Computing $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)$
$\cdot \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right) \times \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right) \times \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)$ ??

- Actually:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right) \times \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mid \mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right) \times \operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\prime} \mid \mathrm{A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right)
$$

- Theory of Markov ciphers [Lai,Massey,Murphy]

Related quantity: DP[k]

- Given q pairs with input difference A', the fraction that will have differences, $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}^{\prime}, \mathrm{D}^{\prime}$
- Probability computed with fixed key
- Hypothesis [Lai,Massey,Murphy]:

For almost all keys $k$ :

$$
\mathrm{DP}[\mathrm{k}]\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \approx \mathrm{EDP}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Hypothesis of S.E. can't hold

- DP $[k]\left(A^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime} \rightarrow D^{\prime}\right)$ is always a multiple of (No. of pairs) ${ }^{-1}$
- EDP can become much smaller:
$(\text { No. of pairs })^{-1} \times(\text { No. of keys })^{-1}$
- For modern ciphers, EDP < (No. of pairs $)^{-1}$
- Impact on DP[k] ???
- Nevertheless, we continue with EDP


## Provable security (Knudsen/Nyberg)

- Developed for Feistel ciphers
- Prove upper bounds on the EDP of a differential through the cipher
Theorem:
If for 2 rounds $\operatorname{EDP}\left(\mathrm{A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mathrm{p}$
Then for 4 or more rounds $\operatorname{EDP}\left(A^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right) \leq 2 p^{2}$
- Extension: $\leq \mathrm{p}^{2}$ if f -function is bijective
- Examples: Misty, KASUMI
- Problem: doesn't improve after 4 rounds


## Decorrelation theory (Vaudenay)

- Borrows techniques from universal hash function design
- Example: $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{K})=\mathrm{K}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{K}_{2}$
- $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{K})+\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{K}\right)=\left(\mathrm{K}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathrm{K}_{1} \times\left(\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)$

$$
=\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{K}_{1}
$$

- $\operatorname{DP}[k]\left(A^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}\right)=1$ if $B^{\prime}=A^{\prime} \times K_{1}$

$$
=0 \text { otherwise }
$$

- $\operatorname{EDP}\left(A^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}\right)=(\text { No. of keys })^{-1}$
- Very good bound on EDP

Block ciphers and cryptographic hash functions

## Attack

- Example: $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{K})=\mathrm{K}_{1} \times \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{K}_{2}$
- Consider $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime}, \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{A}^{\prime}+\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$
$F(X, K)+F\left(X+A^{\prime}, K\right)+F\left(X+B^{\prime}, K\right)+F\left(X+A^{\prime}+B^{\prime}, K\right)=$

$$
A^{\prime} \times K_{1}+A^{\prime} \times K_{1}=0
$$

- Characteristic with EDP 1!
- Demonstrates problem of this notion of provable security


## Wide trail design strategy

- Compute bounds for 1 S-box:

$$
d=\max _{A^{\prime} \neq 0, B^{\prime}} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}\right)
$$

- Compute bound on number of active S-boxes

$$
z=\text { minimum number of active S-boxes }
$$

- Together: EDP $\leq d^{z}$
- Bound valid for characteristics, not differentials



## Single-Round Optimization



Relevant:

- Number of active components in $A$
- Worst-case difference propagation probability in S-box

Provides a bound of 1 active S-box per round
$>$ Small d $\Rightarrow$ Low bound requires large S-boxes

Two-Round Optimization


- Relevant: number of active components in ( $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ )
- Diffusion criterion for mixing transformation $y=m(x)$
- Branch number $\mathfrak{B}$ : minimum number of active comp. in ( $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$ )
- $\mathscr{B}$ depends only on the mixing transformation


## Shark

- Block length of 64 bits $=8$ bytes
-8-bit S-box
- MDS code over GF(256), length 16, dimension 8
- Optimal 2-round mixing
- Sub-optimal performance

Four-Round Optimization (2)


- Reorder transformations $\Rightarrow$ Super-boxes
- Apply two-round theorem recursively: $\mathscr{B}^{2}$ active S-boxes

Designing the Mixing Transformation


- $\mathcal{B} \leq$ number of components of $X$ plus 1
- $(x, y)$ with $y=m(x)$ can be seen as an error-correcting code
- $\mathscr{B}$ corresponds with the minimum distance of this code
- Maximum $\mathfrak{B}$ : take a Maximum Distance Separable (MDS) code



## Square

- Block length of 128 bits $=16$ bytes $=4 \times 4$
- 8-bit S-box
- MDS code over GF(256), length 8, dimension 4
- Diffusion optimal permutation: transpose
-4-round mixing: 25 active S-boxes per 4 rounds
- S-box: EDP $\leq 2^{-6}$
- EDP of 4-round characteristic $\leq 2^{-150}$


## Rijndael

- Preliminary AES call asked for variable block length
- Needed rectangular input arrays
- Replace transpose by row shift
- Increase number of rounds (improved cryptanalysis)
- Also within the S-boxes (Avalanche criteria)
- PR
- More complicated key schedule
- Use ObjectOriented names for different components


## Remark

- MDS codes require byte-level approach
- Similar approach, but on bit level, by Tavares et al. [1998]
- Diffusion on bit level


## Conclusions

- Differential cryptanalysis
- Basic method
- Several theories to secure designs
- Simple AES structure allows for easier computation of bounds

