

Cryptographic passports & biometrics, summer 2009

MICHAEL NÜSKEN, KONSTANTIN ZIEGLER

6. Exercise sheet

Hand in solutions until Monday, 8 June 2009.

Any claim needs a proof or argument.

Exercise 6.1 (Lagrange's theorem).

(0+11 points)

We have seen that in a commutative group G we have $x^{\#G} = 1$ for $x \in G$. There is a more general version of the theorem which says more and works also for noncommutative groups.

Theorem (Lagrange). *Suppose G is a finite group.*

(a) *If H is a subgroup of G , then $\#H$ divides $\#G$.*

(b) *If $x \in G$ then $x^{\#G} = 1$ in G .*

We are going to prove the first part. Let $a \in G$ be arbitrary group elements. We consider the so-called *cosets* $aH = \{ah \mid h \in H\}$.

(i) Prove that there is a $c \in G$ such that $a \in cH$.

+1

(ii) Consider the map $\lambda: H \rightarrow aH$, $x \mapsto ax$. Prove that it is bijective.

+1

(iii) Conclude that $\#(aH) = \#H$ is independent of a .

+1

(iv) Suppose we are given two group elements $a, b \in G$. Then only the following two cases are possible:

+2

◦ $aH = bH$, or

◦ $aH \cap bH = \emptyset$.

In other words: it never happens that aH and bH have some but not all elements in common.

Prove this. [Hint: Suppose $x \in aH \cap bH$ (so we are not in the second case) and show that then $aH = bH$ (this is the first case).]

(v) Conclude that G is the disjoint union of all cosets.

+1

(vi) Conclude that $\#H$ divides $\#G$.

+1

We derive the second part from the first in the following steps:

(vii) Consider $\langle a \rangle = \{\dots, a^{-2}, a^{-1}, 1, a, a^2, \dots\}$. Prove that this is a subgroup of G . It is called the *subgroup generated by a* . +1

(viii) Now let n be the *order* of a , i.e. $a^n = 1$ and $a^k \neq 1$ for all $0 < k < n$. Prove that $\langle a \rangle = \{1, a, \dots, a^{n-1}\}$ and in particular $\#\langle a \rangle = n$. +2

+1

(ix) Conclude that $a^{\#G} = 1$.

Exercise 6.2 (DSA Practice).

(11 points)

In this exercise you will make practical computations with the DSA algorithm, using *real life* key sizes. Use a computational system of your choice making sure that you can deal with modular arithmetic involving very long integers; we advice to use MuPAD which runs on all b-bit computers. In any case, provide the essential program code as part of your solution.

- 1 (i) Generate a random prime number ℓ with exactly 160 bits.
- 2 (ii) Generate a prime p with exactly 1024 bits such that ℓ divides $p - 1$.
- 1 (iii) Find a $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ which has the exact order ℓ . Let $G = \langle g \rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ be the cyclic group with ℓ elements generated by g .

For simplicity we use a trivial hash function and for b^* we simply take the integer b (in the interval $[0, p - 1]$, i.e. forgetting that it's actually in \mathbb{Z}_p) reduced modulo ℓ .

- 1 (iv) Let $a < p$ be a random number and $y = g^a \in G$. We shall consider a to be Alice's secret key and y her public key.
- 2 (v) Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ be the integer value of the ASCII text: `DSA_for_real` (note the two blanks in the text!). Using a random number $k \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ produce a DSA signature $S(m) = (m, x, b)$ on the message m on behalf of Alice.
- 1 (vi) Let Bob know the public key (p, g, y) . Verify the signature $S(m)$ on behalf of Bob.
- 1 (vii) Let m' be the integer value of the ASCII text: *The Lord of the Rings has no secrets*. Can you produce a DSA signature of this text using the same setting as above? If no what additional steps are required?
- 2 (viii) The DSA system can be attacked in two different ways:
- (a) By solving the discrete logarithm problem in the group G with ℓ elements by applying the baby-step giant-step algorithm in this group.

- (b) By solving the general discrete logarithm problem in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times using the up to date Number Field Sieve. The complexity of this method is given by the function:

$$L(p) = 2\left(\frac{64}{9}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot (\log_2 p)^{\frac{1}{3}} (\log_2 \log_2 p)^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Compare the two estimated times when $p \sim 2^{1024}$ and $\ell \sim 2^{160}$.

Exercise 6.3 (Attacks on the ElGamal signature scheme). (4 points)

After prior failures princess Jasmin and Genie have been doing a lot of thinking and research. Genie has proposed to use the ElGamal signature scheme. They have chosen the prime number $p = 1\,334\,537$ and the generator $g = 16$. The public key of the princess Jasmin is $a = 605\,828$.

- (i) They have sent the message $(x, b, \gamma) = (7\,654, 642\,260, 4\,427)$. Unfortunately, Genie was not very careful. He wrote down the number β somewhere and forgot to burn the piece of paper after calculating the signature. Now Jaffar knows the number $\beta = 377$. Compute the secret key α . [2]
- (ii) Princess Jasmin has changed her secret key. She now has the public key $a = 436\,700$. This time Jaffar could not find the number β . Because of this he used an enchantment so that Jasmin's random number generator has output the same value for β twice in a row. This was the case for the messages $(2\,008, 14\,694, 21\,273)$ and $(234, 14\,694, 10\,507)$. Now compute Jasmin's secret key α . [2]

Exercise 6.4 (ElGamal-signatures and hash functions). (4 points)

Consider the ElGamal signature scheme with a hash function h . Assume that the attacker can find, for a given message x , another message y with the same hash value $h(x) = h(y)$. Prove that the attacker can then break the scheme. Conclude a theorem: "If ElGamalSign(h) is secure, then $h \dots$ ". [4]

Exercise 6.5 (Security estimate). (10 points)

The ElGamal signature scheme works over some publicly known group of (often prime) order ℓ , where ℓ has length n . In many cases this is a subgroup of some \mathbb{Z}_p^\times with another (larger) prime p ; then $\ell | (p - 1)$.

- (i) Show that the time for signing a message m is polynomial in n . For the generation of the hash value you may assume the call to a subroutine with time polynomial in n . [2]

For the security of the signature scheme it is necessary it is difficult to compute a discrete logarithm in the group and also, if applicable, in the surrounding group \mathbb{Z}_p^\times . The best known discrete logarithm algorithms achieve the following (heuristic, expected) running times:

method	year	time for a group size of n -bit
brute force (any group)	$-\infty$	$\mathcal{O}^\sim(2^n)$
Baby-step Giant-step (any group)	1971	$\mathcal{O}^\sim(2^{n/2})$
Pollard's ρ method (any group)	1978	$\mathcal{O}(n^2 2^{n/2})$
Pohlig-Hellman (any group)	1978	$\mathcal{O}^\sim(2^{n/2})$
Index-Calculus for \mathbb{Z}_p^\times	1986	$2^{(\sqrt{2}+o(1))n^{1/2} \log_2^{1/2} n}$
Number-field sieve for \mathbb{Z}_p^\times	1990(?)	$2^{((64/9)^{1/3}+o(1))n^{1/3} \log_2^{2/3} n}$

It is not correct to think of $o(1)$ as zero, but for the following rough estimates just do it. Estimate the time that would be needed to find a discrete logarithm in a group whose order has n -bits assuming the (strongest of the) above estimates are accurate with $o(1) = 0$ (which is wrong in practice!)

1
1
1

- (ii) for $n = 1024$ (standard size),
- (iii) for $n = 2048$ (as required for Document Signer CA),
- (iv) for $n = 3072$ (as required for Country Signing CA).

Repeat the estimate assuming that for the given group only Pollard's ρ method is available, for example in case the group is a ℓ -element subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times or an elliptic curve,

1
1
1

- (v) for $n = 160$,
- (vi) for $n = 200$,
- (vii) for $n = 240$.

In April 2001 Reynald Lercier reported (<http://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/reynald.lercier/file/nmbrJL01a.html>) that they can solve a discrete logarithm problem modulo a 397-bit prime p within 10 weeks on a 525MHz computer.

2

- (viii) Which bit size for the prime p is necessary to ensure that they cannot solve the DLP problem in \mathbb{Z}_p^* given —say— 10'000 10GHz computers and 1 year (disregarding memory requirements).

[Note: The record for computing discrete logs in $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^\times$ lies at $n = 613$, see Antoine Joux <http://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/reynald.lercier/file/nmbrJL05a.html>.]