

# 24 Years of Decomposing (Polynomials)

Mark Giesbrecht



Symbolic Computation Group  
Cheriton School of Computer  
Science  
University of Waterloo  
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada



May 29, 2010

# Polynomial Composition and Decomposition

## Functional Composition

Let  $g, h \in F[x]$ , for a field  $F$ .

Compose  $g, h$  as functions  $f(x) = g(h(x)) = g \circ h$

A (generally) non-distributive operation:

$$g(h_1(x) + h_2(x)) \neq g(h_1(x)) + g(h_2(x))$$

## Decomposition

Given  $f \in F[x]$ , can it be decomposed?

Do there exist  $g, h \in F[x]$  such that  $f = g \circ h$ ?

$$f = x^4 - 2x^3 + 8x^2 - 7x + 5$$

$$g = x^2 + 3x - 5 \quad h = x^2 - x - 2$$

$$\Rightarrow f = g \circ h$$

Ritt (1922) describes all decompositions and “ambiguities”.

Generally normalize  $f, g, h$  to monic and *original*:  $h(0) = 0$

## Algorithms for Decomposition

### Barton & Zippel (1982)

Based on factorization of bivariate polynomials

$$f = g \circ h \iff h(x) - h(y) \mid f(x) - f(y)$$

Works as long as you can factor. Potentially exponential time

### Kozen & Landau (1987)

First polynomial-time algorithm. Notice that the high-order coefficients of  $f$  do not depend on (monic)  $g$ .

➡ find  $h$ , then  $g$ .

Works if characteristic  $p$  does not divide  $\deg h$  (the “tame” case).

### von zur Gathen (1988,1990)

Kozen & Landau’s equation solving can be recast as Newton iteration. Nearly linear time decomposition in tame case.

## Wild Decomposition in Toronto (1987-1992)

### Bi-Decomposition

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ .

$f \in F[x]$ , monic of degree  $n$  and  $r, s$  with  $rs = n$ .

Seek monic  $g, h \in F[x]$ ,  $\deg g = r$ ,  $\deg h = s$  and  $h(0) = 0$ .

### Wild Bi-Decomposition: $p \mid r$

Wild decompositions harder to understand and compute

- Ritt's (1922) classification theorems don't hold
- The mathematics becomes incomplete (and impenetrable)
- Decomposition no longer unique
- Fast algorithms no longer work

## Wild Decomposition in Toronto (1987-1992)

### Bi-Decomposition

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ .

$f \in F[x]$ , monic of degree  $n$  and  $r, s$  with  $rs = n$ .

Seek monic  $g, h \in F[x]$ ,  $\deg g = r$ ,  $\deg h = s$  and  $h(0) = 0$ .

### Wild Bi-Decomposition: $p \mid r$

Wild decompositions harder to understand and compute

- Ritt's (1922) classification theorems don't hold
- The mathematics becomes incomplete (and impenetrable)
- Decomposition no longer unique
- Fast algorithms no longer work
- Basically things are much harder (von zur Gathen 1990b)

## Wild Decomposition in Toronto (1987-1992)

### Bi-Decomposition

Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ .

$f \in F[x]$ , monic of degree  $n$  and  $r, s$  with  $rs = n$ .

Seek monic  $g, h \in F[x]$ ,  $\deg g = r$ ,  $\deg h = s$  and  $h(0) = 0$ .

### Wild Bi-Decomposition: $p \mid r$

Wild decompositions harder to understand and compute

- Ritt's (1922) classification theorems don't hold
- The mathematics becomes incomplete (and impenetrable)
- Decomposition no longer unique
- Fast algorithms no longer work
- Basically things are much harder (von zur Gathen 1990b)

**Joachim's perfect topic for an unsuspecting Masters student...**

## How bad can it be?

## How bad can it be?

### Really bad

Last refuge of the flailing grad student: show there are too many decompositions to ever compute in polynomial time



## How bad can it be?

### Really bad

Last refuge of the flailing grad student: show there are too many decompositions to ever compute in polynomial time

### Theorem: (G 1988)

*Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ . For sufficiently large  $n$ , there exist polynomials in  $K[x]$  of degree  $n$  with more than  $n^{\log n / (2 \log p)}$  inequivalent decompositions, where  $K$  is a field extension of  $F$  degree  $O(n \log n)$ .*

### Example

$$f = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq m} a_i x^{p^i} \quad \text{for even } m \text{ and } a_0 \neq 0$$

has at least  $p^{m^2/2}$  right composition factors of degree  $p^{m/2}$ , over its splitting field (of degree  $O(mp^m)$ ).

## Additive Polynomials

The “really wild” polynomial  $\sum a_i x^{p^i}$  is an example of an additive or linearized polynomial. These polynomials satisfy

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

Non-linear additive polynomials only exist in  $F[x]$  if  $F$  has prime characteristic  $p$ , and have the form

$$f = a_0x + a_1x^p + a_2x^{p^2} + \cdots + a_nx^{p^n} \in F[x].$$

Additive polynomials, and more general “skew polynomials” were defined explicitly by Ore (1933,1934) and are employed in

- Error correcting codes
- HFE cryptosystems
- Finding simpler and closed form solutions of linear difference and differential equations.

Perhaps there is enough other structure to compute decompositions?

### Standing on the shoulder's of Ore (1933, 1934):

Theorem: (G 1992, 1998)

*Given  $f = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n} a_i x^{p^i} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , we can find  $g, h \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , if they exist, such that  $f = g \circ h$ . Requires expected time  $O(n^4 \log^2 q)$  operations in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (Las Vegas).*

### Main idea

- Construct a finite algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  from  $f$ , called the *eigenring*; show that zero-divisors in  $\mathcal{A}$  yields composition factors of  $f$ .
- Show how to find zero divisors in a finite algebra quickly (a polynomial-time one was given by Friedl & Ronyai (1987))
- Build very explicit Krüll-Schmidt and Jordan-Hölder like decompositions, which show structure of all decompositions

## The Approximate Years

**I moved to London (Ontario) in 1998 and things got fuzzy.**

### Approximate Decomposition

Given  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ , does there exist a “small” perturbation  $\Delta f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  such that  $f + \Delta f = g \circ h$  for some  $g, h \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ .

## The Approximate Years

**I moved to London (Ontario) in 1998 and things got fuzzy.**

### Approximate Decomposition

Given  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ , does there exist a “small” perturbation  $\Delta f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  such that  $f + \Delta f = g \circ h$  for some  $g, h \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ .

### Iterative Method: Corless, G, Jeffrey and Watt (1999)

If there exists a “small”  $\Delta f$ , then we can (hopefully) find it.

Used an iterative scheme (sort of two coupled Newton iterations)

## The Approximate Years

I moved to London (Ontario) in 1998 and things got fuzzy.

### Approximate Decomposition

Given  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ , does there exist a “small” perturbation  $\Delta f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  such that  $f + \Delta f = g \circ h$  for some  $g, h \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ .

### Structured Matrix Perturbations: G & May (2005)

Reduction to finding a nearby rank-reduced matrix.

- Back to Barton & Zippel (1985):

$$f(x) = g(h(x)) \text{ if and only if } h(x) - h(y) \mid f(x) - f(y)$$

- Unless  $f(x)$  is “special” (has a Dickson factor)  $f(x)$  indecomposable implies  $(f(x) - f(y))/(x - y)$  abs. irreducible
- Ruppert (1998) shows that this is a linear condition. I.e., there is a matrix  $R_f$  such that irreducibility is a rank condition

## The Approximate Years

I moved to London (Ontario) in 1998 and things got fuzzy.

### Approximate Decomposition

Given  $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ , does there exist a “small” perturbation  $\Delta f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  such that  $f + \Delta f = g \circ h$  for some  $g, h \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ .

### Structured Matrix Perturbations: G & May (2005)

Two outcomes:

- reduced decomposition to finding a nearby (structured) rank deficient matrix (a well-studied numerical problem)
- show that Barton & Zippel’s (1985) algorithm runs in polynomial time, except when it has Dickson factors, which is easily handled.

## From 2007–2009 I decomposed sparsely

With Dan Roche (ISSAC'2008, JSC 2010), showed that given

$$f = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq t} a_i x^{e_i} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

(as a list of coefficients and exponents) can determine if

$$f = g \circ h$$

for some  $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , and produce  $h$

Cost is (conjecturally) polynomial in the **sparse** representation of the input and the output ( $t, \log \|f\|_\infty, \log \|g\|_\infty, \log \|h\|_\infty$ )

- if  $g = x^m$  (perfect powers) then conjecture free and Las Vegas
- recent work with Pascal Koiran may remove conjectures



In 2008 I met Joachim in a bar in Linz

“I have a few questions about your Master’s thesis”

## Counting Collisions

Von zur Gathen (2009 a,b,c,d) makes great progress towards studying the wild case and estimating *collisions*:

### Definition: Compositional Collision

A  $k$ -collision of a polynomial  $f \in F[x]$  is a set of  $k$  distinct and “inequivalent” pairs  $(g_1, h_1), \dots, (g_k, h_k)$ , with  $f = g_i \circ h_i$

## Counting Collisions

Von zur Gathen (2009 a,b,c,d) makes great progress towards studying the wild case and estimating *collisions*:

### Definition: Compositional Collision

A  $k$ -collision of a polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  is a set of  $k$  distinct and “inequivalent” pairs  $(g_1, h_1), \dots, (g_k, h_k)$ , with  $f = g_i \circ h_i$

### Degree $p^2$ collisions (von zur Gathen, G, Ziegler, 2010)

What is the largest collision we can construct for  $\deg f = p^2$ ?

Reduces to Bluher (2004): The number of roots of a polynomial  $x^{p+1} + ax + b \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  ( $q$  a power of  $p$ ) for  $b \neq 0$  is in  $\{0, 1, 2, p+1\}$ .

➡ Can construct polynomials with  $\{0, 1, 2, p+1\}$  collisions.

Give a collection of families we **conjecture** is complete.

**Is that all there is?**

## Counting Collisions of Additive Polynomials

We more completely understand the additive case  
(von zur Gathen, G, Ziegler 2010)

### Theorem

*Given  $f = a_0x + a_1x^p + x^{p^2} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  ( $q$  a power of  $p$ ), the number of distinct right composition factors of  $f$  of degree  $p$  is in  $\{0, 1, 2, p + 1\}$ .*

# Counting Collisions of Additive Polynomials

We more completely understand the additive case  
(von zur Gathen, G, Ziegler 2010)

## Theorem

*Given  $f = a_0x + a_1x^p + x^{p^2} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  ( $q$  a power of  $p$ ), the number of distinct right composition factors of  $f$  of degree  $p$  is in  $\{0, 1, 2, p+1\}$ .*

## Sketch

Roots of  $f$  an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -subspace of  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  of dim 2

➡ Want  $\sigma : a \mapsto a^q$  invariant subspaces of dim 1

Can find rational Jordan form of  $\sigma$  in time  $(\log p)^{O(1)}$ .

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 1 & \delta \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & p+1 \end{array}$$

## Counting Collisions of Additive Polynomials

We more completely understand the additive case  
(von zur Gathen, G, Ziegler 2010)

### Theorem

*Given  $f = a_0x + a_1x^p + x^{p^2} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  ( $q$  a power of  $p$ ), the number of distinct right composition factors of  $f$  of degree  $p$  is in  $\{0, 1, 2, p+1\}$ .*

We can even say exactly how many additive polynomials have each number of collisions.

Collision size	# additive polynomials with that collision
0	$\frac{p(q^2-1)}{2(p+1)}$
1	$\frac{q^2-q}{p} + 1$
2	$\frac{(q-1)^2 \cdot (p-2)}{2(p-1)} + q - 1$
$p+1$	$\frac{(q-1)(q-p)}{p(p^2-1)}$

## Counting Collisions of Additive Polynomials (2)

### Efficient Algorithms

Given  $f = a_0x + a_1x^p + \cdots + a_mx^{p^m} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , we can compute

$$\# \{ (g, h) : f = g \circ h \mid g, h \in \mathbb{F}_q[x], \deg h = p \}$$

in time polynomial in  $m$  and  $\log q$ .

### Roots of Projective Polynomials

Abhyankar (1998) defines projective polynomials as

$$\Psi = a_0 + a_1x^{\phi_p(1)} + a_2x^{\phi_p(2)} + \cdots + a_mx^{\phi_p(m)} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$$

where  $\phi_p(i) = (p^i - 1)/(p - 1)$ .

Projective polynomials arise naturally in many situations:  
construction of strong Davenport pairs, difference sets,  
algebraic combinatorics,  $m$ -sequences, coding theory, ...

## Counting Collisions of Additive Polynomials (2)

### Efficient Algorithms

Given  $f = a_0x + a_1x^p + \cdots + a_mx^{p^m} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ , we can compute

$$\#\{(g, h) : f = g \circ h \mid g, h \in \mathbb{F}_q[x], \deg h = p\}$$

in time polynomial in  $m$  and  $\log q$ .

### Roots of Projective Polynomials

Abhyankar (1998) defines projective polynomials as

$$\Psi = a_0 + a_1x^{\phi_p(1)} + a_2x^{\phi_p(2)} + \cdots + a_mx^{\phi_p(m)} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$$

where  $\phi_p(i) = (p^i - 1)/(p - 1)$ .

We can

- compute the number of roots of a projective  $\Psi \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ ;
- construct projective  $\Psi \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$  with prescribed # of roots;

in time polynomial in  $m = \log \deg \Psi$  and  $\log q$ .



## Decomposing in the future

- Quantify and compute the number and structure of wild collisions of degree  $p^2$ ,  $p^3$  and beyond
- Blüher-like classification for projective polynomials of arbitrary degree.
- Determining solvability of Galois (monodromy) groups and find subfields of function fields (via an adapted Landau-Miller-like algorithm)
- Rational function decomposition
- Sparse polynomial decomposition

Happy Birthday Joachim!