# Average Time Fast SVP and CVP Algorithms for Low Density Lattices and the Factorization of Integers 

Claus P. SCHNORR

Fachbereich Informatik und Mathematik
Goethe-Universität
Frankfurt am Main

B-IT Bonn, 27-29.5. 2010
60 Birthday of Joachim von zur Gathen

I Outline of the new SVP / CVP algorithm

II Time bound of SVP/CVP algorithm for low density lattices
III Factoring integers via "easy" CVP solutions
IV Partial analysis of the new SVP / CVP algorithm References

There is a TR available at http://www.mi.informatik.uni-frankfurt.de/research/papers.html

We focus on novel proof elements that are not covered by published work and outline sensible heuristics towards polynomial time factoring of integers.
lattice basis lattice norm
SV-length

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{B}=\left[\mathbf{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{n}\right] \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n} \\
& \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{B})=\left\{\mathbf{B} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\} \\
& \|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}=\langle\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{2} \\
& \lambda_{1}(\mathcal{L})=\min \{\|\mathbf{b}\| \mid \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

QR-decomposition $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{Q R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ such that

- the GNF - geom. normal form - $\mathbf{R}=\left[r_{i, j}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is uppertriangular, $r_{i, j}=0$ for $j<i$ and $r_{i, i}>0, \quad\left(r_{i, i}=\left\|\mathbf{b}_{i}^{*}\right\|\right)$
- $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ isometric: $\mathbf{Q}^{t} \mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{I}_{n}$.

LLL-basis B $=\mathbf{Q R}$ for $\delta \in\left(\frac{1}{4}, 1\right]$ (Lenstra, Lenstra, Lovasz 82):

1. $\left|r_{i, j}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} r_{i, i}$ for all $j>i$ (size-reduced) $\quad\left(r_{i, j} / r_{i, i}=\mu_{j, i}\right)$
2. $\delta r_{i, i}^{2} \leq r_{i, i+1}^{2}+r_{i+1, i+1}^{2}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$.
3. $\alpha^{-i+1} \leq\left\|\mathbf{b}_{i}\right\|^{2} \lambda_{i}^{-2} \leq \alpha^{n-1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$,
4. $\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\|^{2} \leq \alpha^{\frac{n-1}{2}}(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{L})^{2 / n}$, where $\alpha=1 /\left(\delta-\frac{1}{4}\right)$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_{t}=\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{t-1}\right)$ and $\pi_{t}: \operatorname{span}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \operatorname{span}\left(\mathcal{L}_{t}\right)^{\perp}$ for $t=1, \ldots, n$ denote the orthogonal projection.

## Stage $\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{t}}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ of ENUM.

$\mathbf{b}:=\sum_{i=t}^{n} u_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i} \in \mathcal{L}$ and $u_{t}, \ldots, u_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ are given. The stage searches exhaustively for all $\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} u_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i} \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i}\right\|^{2} \leq A$ holds for some $A \geq \lambda_{1}^{2}$. Obviously

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\zeta_{t}+\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} u_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\pi_{t}(\mathbf{b})\right\|^{2} \\
\text { goal: } \leq \boldsymbol{A} \quad \text { to be minimized } \quad \text { spent }
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\zeta_{t}:=\mathbf{b}-\pi_{t}(\mathbf{b}) \in \operatorname{span} \mathcal{L}_{t}$ is the orthogonal projection of the given $\mathbf{b}=\sum_{i=t}^{n} u_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i}$.

Stage $\left(u_{t}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ exhausts $\mathcal{B}_{t-1}\left(\zeta_{t}, \rho_{t}\right) \cap \mathcal{L}_{t}$ where $\mathcal{B}_{t-1}\left(\zeta_{t}, \rho_{t}\right) \subset \operatorname{span} \mathcal{L}_{t}$ is the sphere of dimension $t-1$ with center $\zeta_{t}$ and radius $\rho_{t}:=\left(A-\left\|\pi_{t}(\mathbf{b})\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$.

The GAUSSIAN volume heuristics estimates $\left|\mathcal{B}_{t-1}\left(\zeta_{t}, \rho_{t}\right) \cap \mathcal{L}_{t}\right|$ to

$$
\beta_{t}={ }_{d e f} \operatorname{vol} \mathcal{B}_{t-1}\left(\zeta_{t}, \rho_{t}\right) / \operatorname{det} \mathcal{L}_{t} .
$$

Here $\operatorname{vol} \mathcal{B}_{t-1}\left(\zeta_{t}, \rho_{t}\right)=\rho_{t-1}^{t-1} V_{t-1}, \quad V_{t}=\pi^{\frac{t}{2}} /\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)!\approx\left(\frac{2 e \pi}{t}\right)^{\frac{t}{2}} / \sqrt{\pi t}$ is the volume of the unit sphere of dimension $t$,

$$
\operatorname{det} \mathcal{L}_{t}=\prod_{i=1}^{t-1} r_{i, i}, \quad \rho_{t}^{2}:=A-\left\|\pi_{t}\left(\sum_{i=t}^{n} u_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i}\right)\right\|^{2}
$$

We call $\beta_{t}$ the success rate of stage $\left(u_{t}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$.
If $\zeta_{t} \bmod \mathcal{L}_{t}$ is uniformly distributed over the parallelepiped

$$
\mathcal{P}_{t}:=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} r_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i} \mid 0 \leq r_{1}, \ldots, r_{t-1}<1\right\}
$$

then

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\zeta_{t}}\left[\left|\mathcal{B}_{t-1}\left(\zeta_{t}, \rho_{t}\right) \cap \mathcal{L}_{t}\right|\right]=\beta_{t} \quad \text { for } \zeta_{t} \in_{R} \mathcal{P}_{t}
$$ because $1 / \operatorname{det} \mathcal{L}_{t}$ is the number of points of $\mathcal{L}_{t}$ per volume.

The center $\zeta_{t}=\mathbf{b}-\pi_{t}(\mathbf{b}) \in \operatorname{span} \mathcal{L}_{t}$ changes rapidly within NEW ENUM. It is natural to assume that $\zeta_{t} \in \operatorname{span}\left(\mathcal{L}_{t}\right)$ distributes nearly randomly, and thus the estimate $\left|\mathcal{B}_{t-1}\left(\zeta_{t}, \rho_{t}\right) \cap \mathcal{L}_{t}\right| \approx$ $\operatorname{vol} \mathcal{B}_{t-1}\left(\zeta_{t}, \rho_{t}\right) / \operatorname{det} \mathcal{L}_{t}$ of the vol. heur. holds on the average.

INPUT LLL-basis $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{Q R} \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}, \mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, A:=\frac{n}{4}\left(\operatorname{det} \mathbf{B}^{t} \mathbf{B}\right)^{2 / n}$, OUTPUT a sequence of $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{B})$ of decreasing length
$\|\mathbf{b}\|^{2} \leq \boldsymbol{A}$ terminating with $\|\mathbf{b}\|=\lambda_{1}$.

1. $s:=1, L:=\emptyset, \quad$ (we call $s$ the level)
2. Perform algorithm ENUM [SE94] pruned to stages with $\beta_{t} \geq n^{-s}$ : Upon entry of stage $\left(u_{t}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ compute $\beta_{t}$. If $\beta_{t}<n^{-s}$ delay this stage and store $\left(\beta_{t}, u_{t}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ in the list $L$ of delayed stages. Otherwise perform stage $\left(u_{t}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ on level $s$, and as soon as some non-zero $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}$ of length $\|\mathbf{b}\|^{2} \leq A$ has been found give out $\mathbf{b}$ and set $A:=\|\mathbf{b}\|^{2}-1$. Recompute the stored $\beta_{t}$.
3. Perform and delete the stages $\left(u_{t}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ of $L$ with $\beta_{t} \geq n^{-s-1}$ in increasing order of $t$ and for fixed $t$ in order of decreasing $\beta_{t}$. Collect the called substages $\left(u_{t^{\prime}}, \ldots, u_{t}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ with $\beta_{t^{\prime}}<n^{-s-1}$ in $L$. IF $L=\emptyset$ THEN terminate by exhaustion.
4. $s:=s+1$, GO TO 3

We efficiently approximate $\beta_{t}$ using floating point arithmetic.
The space reservations for the list $L$ are quite expensive compared to the modest arithmetic costs per stage.

The condition $\beta_{t}<n^{-s}$ has been tested in practice. It replaces our original condition $\beta_{t}<2^{-s}$. This reduces the list $L$ and the number of list operations.

For the final exhaustive search that proves $\|\mathbf{b}\|=\lambda_{1}$ the success rate and the list operations can be suppressed, they merely slows down the computation.

The start of the final exhaustion can be guessed: if no shorter vector comes up for an extended period then most likely the last output $\mathbf{b}$ has length $\lambda_{1}$.

## II: Time Bound for the SVP algorithm

Def. The relative density of $\mathcal{L}: \quad r d(\mathcal{L}):=\lambda_{1} \gamma_{n}^{-1 / 2}(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{L})^{-1 / n}$ $r d(\mathcal{L})=\lambda_{1}(\mathcal{L}) / \max \lambda_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$ holds for the maximum of $\lambda_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)$ over all lattices $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}^{\prime}=n$ and $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{L}=\operatorname{det} \mathcal{L}^{\prime}$.
The Hermite constant $\gamma_{n}=\max \left\{\lambda_{1}^{2} / \operatorname{det}(\mathcal{L})^{2 / n} \mid \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}=n\right\}$.
We always have $\lambda_{1}^{2}=r d(\mathcal{L})^{2} \gamma_{n}(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{L})^{2 / n}$.
Theorem 1 Given a lattice basis satisfying GSA and $\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\| \leq \sqrt{e \pi} n^{b} \lambda_{1}, b \geq 0$, New Enum solves SVP in time $2^{O(n)}\left(n^{1 / 2+b} r d(\mathcal{L})\right)^{n / 4}$. In particular in time $2^{O(n)} n^{n / 8}$ for $b=0$.
The $2^{O(n)}$ factor disappears under the volume heuristics.
$\mathbf{G S A}$ : Let $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{Q R}=\mathbf{Q}\left[r_{i, j}\right]$ satisfy (for $r_{i, i}=\left\|\mathbf{b}_{i}^{*}\right\|$ ):

$$
r_{i, i}^{2} / r_{i-1, i-1}^{2}=q \text { for } i=2, \ldots, n \text { and some } q>0
$$

W.I.o.g. let $q<1$, otherwise $\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\|=\lambda_{1}$.

The condition $\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\| \leq \sqrt{e \pi} n^{b} \lambda_{1}$ can "easily" be met for CVP.

Finding an unproved shortest vector $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ is easier than proving $\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\|=\lambda_{1}$. We study the time to find an SVP-solution $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ without proving $\lambda_{1}=\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\|$ under the assumption:

SA $\left\|\pi_{t}\left(\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{2} \approx \frac{n-t+1}{n} \lambda_{1}^{2}$ holds for all $t$ and NEW EnUM's SVP-solution $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, where $\pi_{t}\left(\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{span}\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{t-1}\right)^{\perp}$.

Proposition 1. Let a lattice basis be given that satisfies GSA, $\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\| \leq \sqrt{e \pi / 2} n^{b} \lambda_{1}$ and $r d(\mathcal{L}) \leq n^{-\frac{1+2 b}{4}}$. If NEW ENUM finds a shortest lattice vector $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ satisfying SA it finds $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, without proving $\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\|=\lambda_{1}$, under the vol. heuristics in polynomial time.

Polynomial time holds for $b=0, r d(\mathcal{L}) \leq n^{-1 / 4}$. But the time to prove $\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\|=\lambda_{1}$ is under the vol. heuristics $\Theta\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}} r d(\mathcal{L})\right)^{n / 4}$.

Corollary 1. Given $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $B$ of $\mathcal{L}(B)$ satisfying GSA, if $\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\|=\lambda_{1}$ and $r d(\mathcal{L}) \leq n^{-1 / 2}$ then New Enum solves the CVP $\|\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{b}\|=\|\mathbf{t}-\mathcal{L}\|$ under the volume heuristics in poly-time.

A random center $\zeta=\pi_{t}(\mathbf{t})$ of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\zeta, \rho)$ provides a good basis for the volume heuristics, much better than for solving SVP where the center $\zeta=\mathbf{0}$ nearly maximizes $\left|\mathcal{B}_{n}(\zeta, \rho) \cap \mathcal{L}\right|$.

We adjust the assumption SA from SVP to CVP:
CA Let $\left\|\pi_{t}(\mathbf{t}-\ddot{\mathbf{b}})\right\|^{2} \approx \frac{n-t+1}{n}\|\mathbf{t}-\mathcal{L}\|^{2}$ hold for all $t$ and New Enum's CVP-solution b̈.

Corollary 2. Let $B=\left[\mathbf{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{n}\right]$ in $\mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ satisfy GSA, $\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\|=O\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ and let $\ddot{\mathbf{b}}$ satisfy $\mathbf{C A}$ for $B$, $\mathbf{t}$. If $r d(\mathcal{L})=o\left(n^{-1 / 4}\right)$ and $\|\mathbf{t}-\mathcal{L}\|=O\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ then New Enum finds the CVP-solution $\ddot{\mathbf{b}} \in \mathcal{L}$ under the volume heuristics in polynomial time, but without proving $\|\mathbf{t}-\ddot{\mathbf{b}}\|=\|\mathbf{t}-\mathcal{L}\|$.

## III: Factoring integers via CVP solutions

Let $N$ be a positive integer that is not a prime power. Let $p_{1}<\cdots<p_{n}$ enumerate all primes less than $(\ln N)^{\alpha}$. Then

$$
n=(\ln N)^{\alpha} /(\alpha \ln \ln N+O(1))
$$

Let the prime factors $p$ of $N$ satisfy $p>p_{n}$.
We show how to factor $N$ by solving "easy" CVP's for the prime number lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{B})$, basis matrix $\mathbf{B}=\left[\mathbf{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{n}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times n}$ :

$$
\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\sqrt{\ln p_{1}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sqrt{\ln p_{n}} \\
N^{c} \ln p_{1} & \cdots & N^{c} \ln p_{n}
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{N}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
N^{c} \ln N^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the target vector $\mathbf{N} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, where either $N^{\prime}=N$ or $N^{\prime}=N p_{n+j}$ for one of the next $n$ primes $p_{n+j}>p_{n}, j \leq n$.

Lemma 5.3 [ MG02] $\lambda_{1}^{2} \geq 2 c \ln N$.

$$
r d(\mathcal{L})=o\left(n^{-1 / 4}\right) \text { for } c=(\ln N)^{\beta}, \text { some } \alpha>2 \beta+2, \beta>0 .
$$

## III:

We identify the vector $\mathbf{b}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{B})$ with the pair $(u, v)$ of integers $\quad u=\prod_{e_{j}>0} p_{j}^{e_{j}}, v=\prod_{e_{j}<0} p_{j}^{-e_{j}} \in \mathbb{N}$.
Then $u, v$ are free of primes larger than $p_{n}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(u, v)=1$.
We compute vectors $\mathbf{b}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{B})$ close to $\mathbf{N}$ such that $\left|u-v N^{\prime}\right|<p_{n}$. The prime factorizations $\left|u-v N^{\prime}\right|=\prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{e_{i}^{\prime}}$ and $u=\prod_{e_{j}>0} p_{j}^{e_{j}}$ yield for "suitable" $\alpha, c$ a non-trivial relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{e_{i}>0} p_{i}^{e_{i}}= \pm \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{e_{i}^{\prime}} \bmod N \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $n+1$ independent relations (7.1) we write these relations with $p_{0}=-1$ and $e_{i, j}, e_{i, j}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ as $\quad \prod_{i=0}^{n} p_{i}^{e_{i, j}-e_{i, j}^{\prime}}=1 \bmod N$ for $j=1, \ldots, n+1$. Any non-trivial solution $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ of

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} z_{j}\left(e_{i, j}-e_{i, j}^{\prime}\right)=0 \bmod 2, \quad i=0, \ldots, n
$$

solves $X^{2}=1 \bmod N$ by $X=\prod_{i=0}^{n} p_{i}^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} z_{j}\left(e_{i, j}-e_{i, j}^{\prime}\right)} \bmod N$. Hence $\operatorname{gcd}(X \pm 1, N)$ factors $N$ if $X \neq \pm 1 \bmod N$.

## V: Vectors $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}$ closest to $\mathbf{N}$ yield relations (7.1)

An integer $z$ is called $y$-smooth, if all prime factors $p$ of $z$ satisfy $p \leq y$. Let $N^{\prime}$ be either $N$ or $N p_{n+j}$ for one of the next $n$ primes $p_{n+j}>p_{n}$. We denote

$$
M_{\alpha, c, N}=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{N}^{2} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}
u \leq N^{c},\left|u-v N^{\prime}\right|=1, N^{c-1} / 2<v<N^{c-1} \\
u, v \text { are squarefree and }(\ln N)^{\alpha}-\text { smooth }
\end{array}\right.\right\} .
$$

Theorem 4 [S93/91] If the equation $|u-\lceil u / N\rfloor N|=1$ is for random $u$ of order $N^{C}$ nearly statistically independent from the event that $u,\lceil u / N\rfloor$ are squarefree and $(\ln N)^{\alpha}$-smooth then $M_{\alpha, c, N} \neq \emptyset$ holds if $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-2 \beta-2}<c \leq(\ln N)^{\beta}$ and $\alpha>2 \beta+2$.

Theorem 4 extends the result of [S93/91] from a constant $c>0$ to $c=(\ln N)^{\beta}$, required for $r d(\mathcal{L})=o\left(n^{1 / 4}\right)$.

Theorem 5 The vector $\mathbf{b}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i} \in \mathcal{L}(B)$ closest to $\mathbf{N}$ provides a non-trivial relation (7.1) provided that $M_{\alpha, c, N} \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 6 If $\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\|=O\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ and $M_{\alpha, c, N} \neq \emptyset$ for $c=(\ln N)^{\beta}$, $\alpha>2 \beta+2$ we can minimize $\|\mathcal{L}(B)-\mathbf{N}\|$ under GSA, CA and the volume heuristics in polynomial time.

Proof. It follows from $M_{\alpha, c, N} \neq \emptyset$ for $N^{\prime} \in\left\{N, N p_{n+j}\right\}$ that

$$
\|\mathcal{L}-\mathbf{N}\|^{2} \leq(2 c-1) \ln N^{\prime}+1=(2 c-1+o(1)) \ln N .
$$

Lemma 5.3 of [MG02] proves that $\lambda_{1}^{2} \geq 2 c \ln N-\Theta(1)$
[ $\lambda_{1}^{2}=2 c \ln N+O(1)$ holds if $0<\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-2 \beta-2}<c \leq(\ln N)^{\beta}$.]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r d(\mathcal{L})=\lambda_{1} /\left(\sqrt{\gamma_{n}}(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{L})^{\frac{1}{n}}\right) \lesssim\left(\frac{2 e \pi 2 c \ln N}{(\ln N)^{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =O(c \ln N)^{(1-\alpha) / 2}=O\left((\ln N)^{1-\alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have for $c=(\ln N)^{\beta}, \alpha>2 \beta+2$ that $\frac{2 c \ln N}{(\ln n)^{\alpha}}=o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$ Hence

$$
r d(\mathcal{L})=o\left(n^{-1 / 4}\right)
$$



For solving $\|\mathbf{t}-\ddot{\mathbf{b}}\|=\|\mathbf{t}-\mathcal{L}\|$ heur. in poly-time Theorem 6 requires some $\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\|=O\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$.

We extend the prime number basis $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{B})$ by a nearly shortest lattice vector for the extended lattice, preserving $r d(\mathcal{L})$, $\operatorname{det}(\mathcal{L})$ and the structure of the lattice.
We extend the prime base by a prime $\bar{p}_{n+1}$ of order $\Theta\left(N^{c}\right)$ such that $\left|u-\bar{p}_{n+1}\right|=O(1)$ holds for a squarefree $(\ln N)^{\alpha}$-smooth $u$. Then $\left\|\sum_{i} e_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i}-\mathbf{b}_{n+1}\right\|^{2}=2 c \ln N+O(1)$ holds for $u=\prod_{i} p_{i}^{e_{i}}$ and the additional basis vector $\mathbf{b}_{n+1}$ corresponding to $\bar{p}_{n+1}$. $\sum_{i} \boldsymbol{e}_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i}-\mathbf{b}_{n+1}$ is a nearly shortest vector of $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{n+1}\right)$.
Efficient construction of $\bar{p}_{n+1}$. Generate random $u=\prod_{i} p_{i}$ and test the nearby $\bar{p}$ for primality. $\bar{p}_{n+1}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{n+1}$ can be found in probabilistic polynomial time if the density of primes near the $u$ is not exceptionally small. A single $\bar{p}_{n+1}$ can be used to solve all CVP's for the factorization of all integers of order $\Theta(N)$.

Theorem 1 Given a lattice basis satisfying GSA and $\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\| \leq \sqrt{e \pi} n^{b} \lambda_{1}, b \geq 0$, New Enum solves SVP in time

$$
2^{O(n)}\left(n^{1 / 2+b} r d(\mathcal{L})\right)^{n / 4}
$$

New Enum essentially performs stages in decreasing order of the success rate $\beta_{t}$. Let $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{b}_{i} \in \mathcal{L}$ denote the unique vector of length $\lambda_{1}$ that is found by New Enum.

Let $\beta_{t}^{\prime}$ be the success rate of stage $\left(u_{t}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{n}^{\prime}\right)$.
New Enum performs stage ( $u_{t}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{n}^{\prime}$ ) prior to all stages $\left(u_{t}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ of success rate $\beta_{t} \leq \frac{1}{n} \beta_{t}^{\prime}$
Simplifying assumption. We assume that NEW ENUM performs stage $\left(u_{t}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ prior to all stages of success rate $\beta_{t}<\beta_{t}^{\prime}$, (i.e., $\rho_{t}<\rho_{t}^{\prime}$ ).
By definition $\rho_{t}^{2}=\boldsymbol{A}-\left\|\pi_{t}(\mathbf{b})\right\|^{2}$ and $\rho_{t}^{\prime 2}=A-\left\|\pi_{t}\left(\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{2}$.
Without using the simplifying assumption, the proven time bound of Theorem 4.1 increases at most by the factor $n$.

Consider the number $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ of stages $\left(u_{t}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ with

$$
\left\|\pi_{t}\left(\sum_{i=t}^{n} u_{i} \mathbf{b}_{i}\right)\right\| \leq \lambda_{1}: \quad \mathcal{M}_{t}:=\#\left(\mathcal{B}_{n-t+1}\left(\mathbf{0}, \lambda_{1}\right) \cap \pi_{t}(\mathcal{L})\right) .
$$

Modulo the heuristic simplifications $\mathcal{M}_{t}$ covers the stages that precede ( $u_{t}^{\prime}, \ldots, u_{n}^{\prime}$ ) and those that finally prove $\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right\|=\lambda_{1}$.
Lemma $1 \mathcal{M}_{t} \leq e^{\frac{n-t+1}{2}} \prod_{i=t}^{n}\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{8 \pi} \lambda_{1}}{\sqrt{n-t+1} r_{i, i}}\right)$.
The proof uses the method of Lemma 1 of Mazo, Odlyzko [MO90] and follows the adjusted proof of inequality (2) in section 4.1 of Hanrot, Stehlé [HSO7].
Now $r_{i, i}^{2}=\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\|^{2} q^{i-1}, \lambda_{1}^{2} /\left(\gamma_{n} r d(\mathcal{L})^{2}\right)=(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{L})^{\frac{2}{n}}=\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\|^{2} q^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ hold by GSA and thus $\gamma_{n} \geq \frac{n}{2 e \pi}$ directly imply for $i=t, \ldots, n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{n-t+1} r_{i, i} \leq \sqrt{2 e \pi} r d(\mathcal{L})^{-1} \lambda_{1} q^{(2 i-n-1) / 4} \tag{4.0}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma $1 \quad \mathcal{M}_{t} \leq \prod_{i=t}^{n} \frac{e \sqrt{\pi} r d(\mathcal{L})^{-1} \lambda_{1} q^{(2 i-n-1) / 4}+\sqrt{8 e \pi} \lambda_{1}}{\sqrt{n-t+1} r_{i, i}}$

For the remainder of the proof let $t:=\frac{n}{2}+1-c$ and $m(q, c):=\left[\right.$ if $c>0$ then $q^{\frac{1-c^{2}}{4}}$ else 1$]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{t} \leq m(q, c)\left(\frac{(2+\sqrt{e}) \sqrt{2 e \pi} \lambda_{1}}{\sqrt{n-t+1} r d(\mathcal{L})}\right)^{n-t+1} / \operatorname{det} \pi_{t}(\mathcal{L}) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m(q, c)=q^{\frac{1-c^{2}}{4}}=q^{-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{c}(2 i-1)}$ covers in (4.0) the factors $q^{\frac{2 i-n-1}{4}}>1$ for $t<i<\frac{n}{2}+1$.
We see from (4.1) and det $\pi_{t}(\mathcal{L})=\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\|^{n-t+1} q^{\sum_{i=t}^{n} \frac{i-1}{2}}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{t} \leq m(q, c)\left(\frac{(2+\sqrt{e}) \sqrt{2 e \pi}}{\sqrt{n-t+1}} \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\mathbf{b}_{1} \| r d(\mathcal{L})}\right)^{n-t+1} / q^{\sum_{i=t-1}^{n-1} i / 2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The [KL78] bound $\gamma_{n} \leq \frac{1.744(n+o(n))}{2 e \pi} \leq \frac{n}{e \pi}$ for $n \geq n_{0}$ and $\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=t-1}^{n-1} i=\frac{n}{2}-\frac{(t-1)(t-2)}{2(n-1)}$ and $q^{\frac{n-1}{2}}=\lambda_{1}^{2} /\left(\|\mathbf{b}\|^{2} \gamma_{n} r d(\mathcal{L})^{2}\right)$ show

$$
\mathcal{M}_{t} \leq m(q, c)\left(\frac{(2+\sqrt{e}) \sqrt{2 e \pi} \lambda_{1}}{\sqrt{n-t+1} r d(\mathcal{L})\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\|}\right)^{n-t+1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{n} r d(\mathcal{L})\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\|}{\sqrt{e \pi} \lambda_{1}}\right)^{n-\frac{(t-1)(t-2)}{n-1}}
$$

The difference of the exponents
$\operatorname{de}(t)=n-\frac{(t-1)(t-2)}{n-1}-n+t-1=(t-1)\left(1-\frac{t-2}{n-1}\right)$ is positive for $t \leq n$ and $\operatorname{de}\left(\frac{n}{2}+1-c\right)=\frac{n^{2} / 4-c^{2}}{n-1}$. Hence for $\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\| \leq \sqrt{\mathbf{e} \pi} n^{b} \lambda_{1}$ and all $t \leq n:$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{t} \leq m(q, c)\left((\sqrt{8}+\sqrt{2 e}) \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-t+1}}\right)^{n-t+1}\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}+b} r d(\mathcal{L})\right)^{\frac{n^{2} / 4-c^{2}}{n-1}}
$$

For $c>0, t \leq \frac{n}{2}$ we have
$m(q, c)=q^{\frac{1-c^{2}}{4}}=\left(\frac{\left\|\mathbf{b}_{1}\right\| \sqrt{\gamma_{n}} r d(\mathcal{L})}{\lambda_{1}}\right)^{\frac{c^{2}-1}{n-1}} \leq\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}+b} r d(\mathcal{L})\right)^{\frac{c^{2}-1}{n-1}}$, and
thus: $\quad \mathcal{M}_{t} \leq(4+2 \sqrt{e})^{n-t+1}\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}+b} r d(\mathcal{L})\right)^{\frac{n^{2} / 4-1}{n-1}}=$
$2^{O(n)}\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}+b} r d(\mathcal{L})\right)^{\frac{n+1}{4}}$, where $\frac{n^{2} / 4-1}{n-1} \leq \frac{n+1}{4}$.
For $c \leq 0, t>\frac{n}{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}_{t} \leq\left((\sqrt{8}+\sqrt{2 e}) \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-t+1}}\right)^{n-t+1}\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}+b} r d(\mathcal{L})\right)^{\frac{n^{2} / 4}{n-1}} \\
& \quad=2^{O(n)}\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}+b} r d(\mathcal{L})\right)^{\frac{n+2}{4}} \text { where } \frac{n^{2} / 4}{n-1} \leq \frac{n+2}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

MAZO, OdLYZKo [MO90] show for the lattice $\mathcal{L}=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \#\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \mid\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2} \leq a n\right\}=2^{\Theta(n)} \\
& \text { for } a_{0} \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2 e \pi} \text { and any } a_{0}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

whereas the vol. heuristics estimates this cardinality to $O(1)$.
The center $\zeta=\mathbf{0}$ of the sphere is bad for the vol. heur.:
It can nearly maximize $\quad\left|\mathcal{B}_{n}(\zeta, \rho) \cap \mathcal{L}\right|$.
New Enum for SVP tries to keep the center $\zeta_{t}=\mathbf{b}-\pi_{t}(\mathbf{b})$ $\in \operatorname{span} \mathcal{L}_{t}$ close to $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{t-1}$. Can this in practice generate substantial errors of the volume heuristics?.
New Enum for CVP keeps for center $\zeta_{t}=\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{t}-\pi_{t}(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{t})$ close to $\pi_{t}(\mathbf{t})$. For random $\mathbf{t}$ this better justifies the volume heuristics in the analysis of NEw ENUM for CVP.
$5.2 \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{c}}$-unique-SVP lattices: every lattice vector that is linearly independent of a shortest nonzero lattice vector has at least length $\lambda_{1} n^{c}$ for some $c>1$, i.e., $\lambda_{2} \geq \lambda_{1} n^{c}$.

Proposition 1 shows that all $n^{c}$-unique-SVP's can be solved under GSA and the volume heuristics in polynomial time given a very short lattice vector.
5.3 Ajtai's worst case / average case equivalence. AJTAI [Aj96, Thm 1] solves every $n^{c}$-unique-SVP using an oracle that solves SVP for a particular random lattice. However, all $n^{c}$-unique-SVP's are somewhat easy. This makes the worst case / average case equivalence suspicious.
[MR07] reduces $n^{c}$ in Ajtai's reduction to $n \ln { }^{O(1)} n$.
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