

Inverting integer and polynomial matrices

Jo60

Arne Storjohann
University of Waterloo

Integer Matrix Inverse

Input: An $n \times n$ matrix A filled with entries of size d digits.

Output: The matrix inverse A^{-1} .

Example: 6×6 with $d = 2$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 67 & -81 & -77 & -2 & 69 & 10 \\ 29 & -9 & -18 & 27 & -74 & 94 \\ 44 & -50 & 87 & -93 & -4 & 12 \\ 92 & -22 & 33 & -76 & 27 & -2 \\ -31 & 45 & -98 & -72 & 8 & 50 \\ 99 & -16 & -38 & 57 & -32 & 25 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{613719389}{436045910232} & \frac{20118095}{72674318372} & -\frac{851335927}{218022955116} & \frac{3893593471}{436045910232} & -\frac{433417321}{436045910232} & \frac{297871357}{72674318372} \\ -\frac{886053851}{145348636744} & \frac{620810971}{72674318372} & -\frac{1522814569}{72674318372} & \frac{3362614441}{145348636744} & -\frac{453009351}{145348636744} & \frac{838573559}{72674318372} \\ -\frac{99479911}{109011477558} & \frac{218826900}{18168579593} & -\frac{674660030}{54505738779} & \frac{1856662385}{109011477558} & -\frac{1148992613}{109011477558} & \frac{300458509}{18168579593} \\ \frac{447817619}{218022955116} & \frac{340592137}{36337159186} & -\frac{1594931579}{109011477558} & \frac{2114306231}{218022955116} & -\frac{2037856205}{218022955116} & \frac{347815739}{36337159186} \\ \frac{770731325}{109011477558} & \frac{356811254}{18168579593} & -\frac{1721772194}{54505738779} & \frac{3697142975}{109011477558} & -\frac{1450539425}{109011477558} & \frac{584700471}{18168579593} \\ \frac{2028363569}{436045910232} & \frac{1921892393}{72674318372} & -\frac{5197032317}{218022955116} & \frac{11614232501}{436045910232} & -\frac{4273458011}{436045910232} & \frac{2043699293}{72674318372} \end{bmatrix}$$

- input A has total size $O(n^2d)$ words
- output A^{-1} has total size $O(n^3d)$ words

Polynomial Matrix Inverse

Input: An $n \times n$ matrix $A \in K[x]$ of degree bounded by d .

Output: The matrix inverse A^{-1} .

Example: 3×3 over $\mathbb{Z}_7[x]$ with $d = 3$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6x^2 + 4x + 2 & 2x^2 + 5x + 3 & 2x^2 + x \\ 5x^2 + 3x + 2 & 6x^2 + 6x + 4 & 4x^2 + 2 \\ 5x^2 + 3x & 5x^2 + 4x + 3 & 5x^2 + 4 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2x^4 + 3x^2 + 6x + 2}{x^6 + 4x^5 + 5x^4 + 6x^3 + 6x^2 + 4x + 2} & \frac{6x^3 + 3x^2 + 5x + 6}{x^6 + 4x^5 + 5x^4 + 6x^3 + 6x^2 + 4x + 2} & \frac{2x^4 + 6x^3 + 6x^2 + 4x + 4}{x^6 + 4x^5 + 5x^4 + 6x^3 + 6x^2 + 4x + 2} \\ \frac{6x^3 + 4x^2 + 3}{x^5 + 5x^4 + 3x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 5} & \frac{4x^3 + 3x^2 + 5x + 4}{x^5 + 5x^4 + 3x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 5} & \frac{6x^2 + 2x + 5}{x^5 + 5x^4 + 3x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 5} \\ \frac{6x^4 + 3x^3 + 4x^2 + x + 4}{x^6 + 4x^5 + 5x^4 + 6x^3 + 6x^2 + 4x + 2} & \frac{3x^4 + 3x^3 + 2x + 3}{x^6 + 4x^5 + 5x^4 + 6x^3 + 6x^2 + 4x + 2} & \frac{x^4 + 3x^3 + x^2 + 6x + 6}{x^6 + 4x^5 + 5x^4 + 6x^3 + 6x^2 + 4x + 2} \end{bmatrix}$$

- input A has total size $O(n^2d)$ field elements from K
- output A^{-1} has total size $O(n^3d)$

Notation

- nonsingular input A has dimension n
- $\mathbb{K}[x]$: size of entries is $d \geq \deg A$
 ⇒ count field operations from \mathbb{K}
- \mathbb{Z} : size of entries is $d \geq \log \|A\|_\infty$
 ⇒ count word operations
- ω is a feasible exponent for matrix multiplication: $2 \leq \omega \leq 3$

Classical inversion algorithms

- Hensel-Newton iteration: p -adic lifting
- Homomorphic imaging and Chinese remaindering

| <u>Classical</u> | <u>Goal</u> | |
|----------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| $\tilde{O}(n^{\omega+1}d)$ | \rightarrow | $\tilde{O}(n^3d)$ |

Outline: Three completely different approaches for inversion

A1 Sparse inverse expansion

- useful representation of $A^{-1} \bmod p^n$
- applicable for integer and polynomial inputs

A2 Balanced kernel basis

- nearly optimal inversion algorithm for generic polynomials inputs
- [*Jeannerod & Villard, 2005*]

A3 Outer product adjoint formula

- nearly optimal inversion algorithm for
 - all polynomial inputs
 - well conditioned integer inputs

Alternative representations for the inverse of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ x & 5 \end{bmatrix}$

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{6+2x} & \frac{2}{6+2x} \\ \frac{x}{6+2x} & \frac{4}{6+2x} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{c|c} 1+x+x^2+x^3+\cdots & -1-x-x^2-x^3+\cdots \\ \hline -x-x^2-x^3+\cdots & 1+x+x^2+x^3+\cdots \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x^2 + \cdots.$$

- A^{-1} over $\mathbf{K}[[x]]$ modulo x^{2nd} sufficient to reconstruct A^{-1} over $\mathbf{K}(x)$
- compute expansion over $\mathbf{K}[[x]]$ using quadratic Newton iteration

The sparse inverse formula for $A = [1 - cx]$

Explicit inverse modulo x^{32}

$$(1 - cx)^{-1} \bmod x^{32} \equiv 1 + cx + c^2x^2 + c^3x^3 + c^4x^4 + c^5x^5 + \cdots + c^{31}x^{31}$$

The sparse inverse formula for $A = [1 - cx]$

Explicit inverse modulo x^{32}

$$\begin{aligned}(1 - cx)^{-1} \bmod x^{32} &\equiv 1 + cx + c^2x^2 + c^3x^3 + c^4x^4 + c^5x^5 + \cdots + c^{31}x^{31} \\ &\equiv (1 + cx)(1 + c^2x^2)(1 + c^4x^4)(1 + c^8x^8)(1 + c^{16}x^{16})\end{aligned}$$

The sparse inverse formula for $A = [1 - cx]$

Explicit inverse modulo x^{32}

$$\begin{aligned}(1 - cx)^{-1} \bmod x^{32} &= \overbrace{1 + cx + c^2x^2 + c^3x^3 + c^4x^4 + c^5x^5 + \cdots + c^{31}x^{31}}^{32 \text{ coefficients}} \\ &= \underbrace{(1 + cx)(1 + c^2x^2)(1 + c^4x^4)(1 + c^8x^8)(1 + c^{16}x^{16})}_{5 \text{ coefficients}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\underbrace{(1 + \overbrace{c}^{R_1}x)(1 + \overbrace{c^2}^{R_2}x^2)(1 + \overbrace{c^4}^{R_4}x^4)(1 + \overbrace{c^8}^{R_8}x^8)(1 + \overbrace{c^{16}}^{R_{16}}x^{16})}_{(1 - cx)^{-1} \bmod x^8}$$

Computing the residues for $A = 1 - cx$

$$R_2x^2 = I - A(1 + cx) \\ = c^2x^2$$

$$R_4x^4 = I - A\overbrace{(1 + cx + c^2x^2 + c^3x^3)}^{A^{-1} \bmod x^4} \\ = c^4x^4$$

$$R_8x^8 = I - A\overbrace{(1 + cx + c^2x^2 + c^3x^3 + c^4x^4 + c^5x^5 + c^6x^6 + c^7x^7)}^{A^{-1} \bmod x^8} \\ = c^8x^8$$

Computing the residues for $A = 1 - cx$

$$\begin{aligned} R_2x^2 &= I - A(1 + cx) \\ &= c^2x^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_4x^4 &= I - A\overbrace{(1 + cx + c^2x^2 + c^3x^3)}^{A^{-1} \bmod x^4} \\ &= c^4x^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_8x^8 &= I - A\overbrace{(1 + cx + c^2x^2 + c^3x^3 + c^4x^4 + c^5x^5 + c^6x^6 + c^7x^7)}^{A^{-1} \bmod x^8} \\ &= c^8x^8 \end{aligned}$$

- If $\deg A = d$ then R_2, R_4, R_8, \dots have degree $\leq d - 1$.
- Can use reverse short product
 \Rightarrow need only top d coefficients of $A^{-1} \bmod x^*$

Standard quadratic lifting

High-order component lifting

A 6x36 grid of black dots and open circles. The first column contains labels 0 through 5 above each row. The grid has two distinct patterns: a repeating sequence of 18 open circles followed by 18 black dots, and a diagonal sequence of alternating black dots and open circles.

Sparse inverse formula for integer and polynomial matrices

Example for $7^{-1} \bmod x^{32}, x = 11$

$$7^{-1} \bmod x^{32}$$

$$= 904876146229395122376692251804252$$

$$= (((52(1 - 3x^2) + 2x^4)(1 - 5x^4) + 4x^8)(1 - 3x^8) + 2x^{16})(1 - 5x^{16}) + 4x^{32}$$

General case

- formula can be computed in time $\tilde{O}(n^\omega d)$
- sparse inverse formula for $A^{-1} \bmod x^n$ has size $O(n^2(\log n)d)$
- can be used to compute $A^{-1}b$ in time $\tilde{O}(n^\omega d)$
- \Rightarrow fast algorithms for linear algebra over \mathbb{Z} and $\mathbb{K}[x]$
- randomized Las Vegas
- algorithm for explicit inverse?

Outline: Three completely different approaches for inversion

A1 Sparse inverse expansion

- useful representation of $A^{-1} \bmod p^n$
- applicable for integer and polynomial inputs

A2 Balanced kernel basis

- nearly optimal inversion algorithm for generic polynomials inputs
- [*Jeannerod & Villard, 2005*]

A3 Outer product adjoint formula

- nearly optimal inversion algorithm for
 - all polynomial inputs
 - well conditioned integer inputs

Minimal kernel basis of generic polynomial matrices

Example 1: $A \in K[x]^{2 \times 1}$, $K = \mathbb{Z}_{97}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} N \\ g \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ f \\ g \end{bmatrix} = 0_{1 \times 1}$$

Example 2: $A \in K[x]^{8 \times 4}$, $K = \mathbb{Z}_{97}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x+19 & 81 & 69 & 39 & 87x & 45x+51 & 51x+44 & 33x+85 \\ 49 & x+9 & 75 & 15 & 95x+92 & 67x+90 & 83x+29 & 47x+35 \\ 3 & 5 & x+48 & 7 & 54x+64 & 42x+38 & 12x+67 & 67x+79 \\ 63 & 56 & 34 & x+78 & 31x+50 & 4x+52 & 93x+28 & 17x+52 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ -60x+16 & 52x-20 & -4x-89 & -77x+69 \\ -64x+89 & -16x+59 & -69x-46 & -33x+87 \\ 18 & 52x+36 & 91x-22 & 51x-27 \\ 31x-27 & 65x+88 & 10x-6 & 80x-84 \\ -26x-51 & 88x+97 & -67x+58 & 29x+37 \\ 9x-91 & 81x+65 & -12x+78 & 5x-63 \\ 42x+9 & -21x-27 & -79x-22 & -51x+16 \\ -95x+86 & -97x-14 & 83x-96 & -8x-54 \end{bmatrix} = 0_{4 \times 4}$$

- generic $A \in K[x]^{2n \times n}$ of degree d has kernel of degree d
- effective algorithms, e.g., [Giorgi, Jeannerod & Villard, ISSAC 03]

From generic kernel to generic inversion

- split input $A \in K[x]^{n \times n}$ of degree d into two halves: $A = [A_L | A_R]$
- compute minimal kernel \underline{U} for A_L and \overline{U} for A_R

$$\begin{bmatrix} \overline{U} \\ \underline{U} \end{bmatrix} [A_L | A_R] = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{U} A_L & \\ & \underline{U} A_R \end{bmatrix}$$

- recurse on degree $2d$ matrices $\overline{U} A_L$ and $\underline{U} A_R$
- Example: $n = 8$ and $d = 1$

$$N_3 \quad N_2 \quad N_1 \quad A$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} [4] & [4] \\ [4] & [4] \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} [2] & [2] & [2] & [2] \\ [2] & [2] & [2] & [2] \\ [2] & [2] & [2] & [2] \\ [2] & [2] & [2] & [2] \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] \\ [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] \\ [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] \\ [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] \\ [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] \end{bmatrix} \quad [A_L | A_R] = (\det A) A^{-1}$$

From generic kernel to generic inversion

$$\begin{array}{c} N_3 \\ \left[\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline [4] & [4] \\ \hline [4] & [4] \\ \hline \end{array} \right] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} N_2 \\ \left[\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline [2] & [2] & [2] & [2] \\ \hline [2] & [2] & [2] & [2] \\ \hline [2] & [2] & [2] & [2] \\ \hline [2] & [2] & [2] & [2] \\ \hline \end{array} \right] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} N_1 \\ \left[\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] \\ \hline [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] \\ \hline [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] \\ \hline [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] \\ \hline [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] & [1] \\ \hline \end{array} \right] \end{array} \quad A \quad \left[\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline A_L & A_R \\ \hline \end{array} \right] = (\det A) A^{-1}$$

- cost to compute $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_{\log_2 n}$ is $\tilde{O}(n^\omega d)$
- cost to compute $(\det A)A^{-1} = N_{\log n} \cdot N_2 \cdots N_1$ is $\tilde{O}(n^3 d)$

Notes

- requires generic input
- seems to require n to be a power of 2

Outline: Three completely different approaches for inversion

A1 Sparse inverse expansion

- useful representation of $A^{-1} \bmod p^n$
- applicable for integer and polynomial inputs

A2 Balanced kernel basis

- nearly optimal inversion algorithm for generic polynomials inputs
- [*Jeannerod & Villard, 2005*]

A3 Outer product adjoint formula

- nearly optimal inversion algorithm for
 - all polynomial inputs
 - well conditioned integer inputs

Special case of the outer product adjoint formula

Example input matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 67 & -93 & -74 & 99 & 33 \\ 44 & -77 & -72 & 27 & -38 \\ 92 & 57 & -2 & 8 & -18 \\ -31 & 27 & -32 & 69 & 87 \\ 29 & -98 & -76 & -4 & -81 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \det A = 319347140$$

The adjoint

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\text{adj}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 6117164 & -33407370 & 2491416 & 5361562 & 23369838 \\ -1592156 & 17039940 & -4579084 & -4579688 & -12544052 \\ -12481954 & 43671360 & -4016196 & -154642 & -24846648 \\ -23859948 & 97379940 & -12597172 & -10758524 & -64161216 \\ 17006140 & -78361370 & 10822480 & 8136810 & 53967650 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{6117164} \begin{bmatrix} 6117164 \\ -1592156 \\ -12481954 \\ -23859948 \\ 17006140 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6117164 & -33407370 & 2491416 & 5361562 & 23369838 \end{bmatrix} \bmod 319347140 \end{aligned}$$

General case of the output product adjoint formula

- suppose Smith form of A is $\text{Diag}(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$

$$A^{\text{adj}} \equiv \frac{\det A}{s_n} v_n u_n + \frac{\det A}{s_{n-1}} v_{n-1} u_{n-1} + \cdots + \frac{\det A}{s_1} v_1 u_1 \pmod{\det A}$$

Integer matrices

- only obtain inverse modulo $\det A$
- inverse of $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n}$ in time $\tilde{O}(n^3 d + n^3 \log \kappa(A))$
- \Rightarrow nearly optimal for well conditioned input
- randomized Las Vegas

Polynomial matrices

- use randomization and reversion to extend to arbitrary input
- inverse of any $A \in K[x]^{n \times n}$ in time $\tilde{O}(n^3 d)$ operations from K
- randomized Las Vegas

Applications of the nearly optimal inversion formulas

Example: Linear solving over K : $K = \mathbb{Z}_7$, $n = 5$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 6 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 5 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 5 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 6 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det A = 3$$

$$A^{-1}b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: no expression swell over \mathbb{Z}_7

Example input over $K[x]$: $n = 5, d = 2 \implies$ expression swell

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 6x^2 + x + 5 & 6x + 1 & 2x^2 & x + 4 & 3x^2 + 6x + 5 \\ 3x + 5 & 2x^2 + x + 2 & 6x^2 + 2x + 3 & 3x^2 + 2x + 1 & x^2 + 2x \\ 5x^2 + x + 4 & 6x^2 + 3x + 6 & 5x^2 + 2x & 5x^2 + 6x + 4 & 3x^2 + x \\ 6x^2 + 6x + 5 & 2x^2 + 3x + 2 & 3x^2 + 6x & 3x^2 + 2x + 2 & 5x^2 + 5x + 4 \\ 3x^2 + 2x + 5 & 5x^2 + 3x + 1 & 4x + 1 & 5x^2 + 4x & 2x^2 + 3x + 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} x^2 + x + 1 \\ 2x^2 + 2x + 6 \\ x^2 + 3x + 2 \\ 2x^2 + x + 4 \\ x^2 + 4x + 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det A = 6x^{10} + 6x^9 + x^8 + 3x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + 4x^2 + 2x + 3$$

Degree is $n \times d$ where d is degrees in input matrix.

$$A^{-1}b = \begin{bmatrix} x^{10} + 2x^9 + 2x^8 + x^7 + 3x^6 + x^4 + 6x^3 + 3x \\ 5x^9 + x^8 + 6x^7 + x^5 + 4x^4 + 5x^3 + x^2 + 3x + 1 \\ 6x^{10} + x^9 + 3x^8 + 6x^7 + 3x^6 + 2x^5 + 2x^3 + 5x^2 + 3 \\ 5x^{10} + 3x^9 + 4x^8 + 3x^7 + 2x^6 + 3x^5 + 5x^4 + 6x \\ 3x^{10} + 3x^9 + 4x^7 + 5x^6 + 2x^5 + x + 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1/\det A)$$

Nearly optimal linear system solving with precomputation

Recall situation over \mathbb{K}

Input: Nonsingular $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$

Precompute: Inverse A^{-1}

Compute: $A^{-1}v$ for any given $v \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times 1}$

$$\begin{matrix} A^{-1} \\ \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 3 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 0 & 5 & 6 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 5 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 6 & 3 & 6 & 4 \\ 5 & 5 & 3 & 1 & 4 \end{array} \right] \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} b \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 3 \end{array} \right] \end{matrix} = \begin{matrix} A^{-1}b \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 5 \end{array} \right] \end{matrix}$$

- size of $A^{-1}b$ is $\Omega(n)$
- time to compute $A^{-1}b$ is $O(n^2)$

Nearly optimal linear system solving with precomputation over $\mathbb{K}[x]$

Input: nonsingular $A \in K[x]^{n \times n}$ of degree d

Precompute: adjoint decomposition $N_{\log n} \cdots N_2 N_1 = (\det A) A^{-1}$.

Compute: $A^{-1}v$ for any given $v \in K^{n \times 1}$ of degree d .

$$\begin{matrix} N_3 \\ N_2 \\ N_1 \end{matrix} b = (\det A) A^{-1} b$$

- size of $A^{-1}b$ is $\Omega(n^2d)$
 - cost to compute $A^{-1}b$ is $O(n^2d)$
 - result for nongeneric input via outer product adjoint formula

Krylov basis and matrix powers

Input: matrix $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ and vector $v \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times 1}$

Output: $v, Av, A^2v, A^3v, \dots, A^{n-1}v$

Standard algorithm for matrix vector interates

- compute $Av, A(Av), A(A^2v), A(A^3v), \dots, A(A^{n-2}v)$
- requires $n - 1$ matrix-vector products
- total cost is $O(n^3)$ operations from \mathbb{K}

Fast algorithm [Keller-Gehrig, 1985]

- precompute $A^2, (A^2)^2, (A^4)^2, \dots,$
- compute iterates via matrix multiplication
- total cost is $O(n^w \times \log n)$ operations from \mathbb{K}

Nearly optimal computation of matrix powers

Input: matrix $A \in K^{n \times n}$

Output: $I, A, A^2, A^3, A^4, \dots, A^{n-1}$

- consider matrix $I - xA \in K[x]$
- compute $(I - xA)^{-1} \bmod x^n = I + xA + x^2A^2 + x^3A^3 + \dots + x^{n-1}A^{n-1}$
- total size of output is $\Theta(n^3)$ elements of K
- total cost is $\tilde{O}(n^3)$ using fast inversion algorithm