

# Esecurity: secure internet & evoting, summer 2010

MICHAEL NÜSKEN, KONSTANTIN ZIEGLER

## 9. Exercise sheet

**Hand in solutions until Sunday, 27 June 2010, 23.59 h**

**Exercise 9.1** (Kiayias and Yung).

(11 points)

You already encountered voting schemes introduced by Chaum (1981) and by Clarkson, Chong, and Myers (2008). In this exercise you will encounter a third one, introduced by Kiayas & Yung (2002). Read

Aggelos Kiayias and Moti Yung, *Self-tallying elections and perfect ballot secrecy*, PKC '02, p. 141–158, Springer-Verlag, 2002.

(i) Classify the scheme (hidden vote/hidden voter/both).

1

(ii) Summarize the four steps

4

- Registration,
- Pre-voting,
- Voting, and
- Tallying

each with one sentence.

(iii) Check the scheme for the familiar points

6

- Eligibility,
- Anonymity,
- Individual verifiability,
- Global verifiability,
- Receipts, and
- Robustness.

Comment quickly on your decision.

**Exercise 9.2** (ElGamal Encryption).

(6 points)

Consider ElGamal encryption in a cyclic additive group  $G$  of order  $q$  with generator  $P$ . Let  $(P, X)$  denote the public key and  $(T, Y)$  the ciphertext. Prove that BREAKING ELGAMAL, in the sense of recovering the plaintext from the ciphertext, is equivalent to the COMPUTATIONAL DIFFIE-HELLMAN problem.

**6****Exercise 9.3** (dudle).

(13 points)

Having public polls and scheduling parties are processed similar to elections. A common tool for this is <http://www.doodle.com/>. A project at TU Dresden aims at generating a “privacy-enhanced” version of doodle, see <http://dudle.inf.tu-dresden.de/>.

- 3** (i) Find the documentation and name the problems they addressing.
- 6** (ii) There are four steps in the scheme. Name them and present their content in pseudo-code.
- 4** (iii) Comment on the designer’s claims concerning
  - verifiability,
  - privacy,
  - usability, and
  - computational complexity.