

Esecurity: secure internet & e-cash, summer 2012  
MICHAEL NÜSKEN, RAOUL BLANKERTZ

**8. Exercise sheet**

**Hand in solutions until Sunday, 10 June 2012, 23:59**

**Exercise 8.1** (Vulnerability of SSL). (14+2 points)

- (i) Read Bard (2004).
- (ii) Give a short overview of the described attack. 2
- (iii) How does the *weak variant* of CBC differ from the standard one? Guess, why the weak variant is used nevertheless. 2
- (iv) Which powers/sources does an attacker need? 3
- (v) Describe each step of the attack along with a judgment of feasibility. 4
- (vi) Quickly describe the idea behind the suggested countermeasures. Is the attack still feasible in the latest version of TLS? 3
- (vii) Read up on the so called "BEAST" attack and summarize (see for instance [https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show\\_bug.cgi?id=665814](https://bugzilla.mozilla.org/show_bug.cgi?id=665814)). +2

**Exercise 8.2** (Authenticated encryption). (8 points)

- (i) Read Rogaway & Wagner (2003).
- (ii) What is authenticated encryption? 1
- (iii) Briefly describe the CCM mode. 3
- (iv) Summarize the criticism made in the paper. 4

**References**

- GREGORY V. BARD (2004). Vulnerability of SSL to Chosen-Plaintext Attack. URL <http://eprint.iacr.org/2004/111>.
- P. ROGAWAY & D. WAGNER (2003). A Critique of CCM. Technical Report 070. URL <http://eprint.iacr.org/2003/070>.