

Esecurity: secure internet & e-cash, summer 2012  
MICHAEL NÜSKEN, RAOUL BLANKERTZ

**9. Exercise sheet**

**Hand in solutions until Sunday, 17 June 2012, 23:59**

**Exercise 9.1** (Vulnerability of Certificates). (12 points)

- (i) Read Soghoian & Stamm (2010) and summarize. Why should website operators and user consider the country of the CA? 4
- (ii) Read up on DigiNotar and their fraudulent certificates. What had happened and what was the consequences? 2
- (iii) Read Stevens *et al.* (2009) and summaries. What are the implication on X.509? 4
- (iv) Read up on the malware “Flame” and describe how it could authenticate against the Microsoft Windows operating system. 2

**Exercise 9.2** (Secret sharing). (5 points)

Suppose there is some secret  $s$  that we want to give to a group of  $n$  people. Yet, the secret is very valuable and we do not trust a single person far enough to give him the secret. Think of the access code of the central safe of a bank or the start code of nuclear weapons. The solution is to distribute the secret: each person only gets part of the secret.

To achieve this we randomly choose  $n - 1$  strings  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  of the same length as  $s$  and compute  $a_n = s \oplus a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_{n-1}$ . Then the  $i$ th person gets  $a_i$ .

Prove that

- (i) all  $n$  persons together can recover the secret  $s$ , 1
- (ii) for any other secret  $s'$  and any  $1 \leq i \leq n$  there is another choice of the  $a'_i$  such that  $s' = a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a'_i \oplus \dots \oplus a_n$ , 2
- (iii) less than  $n$  persons can not recover the secret. 2

**Exercise 9.3 (Capturing SSH and SSL).** (8 points)

For the this exercise we recommend to use the tool "Wireshark". For privacy reasons, do not include the whole captured pcap files in your assignment (unless you have anonymized them)!

- (i) Capture an SSH connection from your computer to `login.bit.uni-bonn.de`.
- (ii) Capture an SSL connection from your computer to `https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Main_Page`.
- (iii) Answer the following questions for both captured connections.

- 2 (a) Which version of the respective protocol was used? Is it the up to date version?
- 2 (b) Which cryptographic schemes were proposed and which were chosen?
- 2 (c) If there are any identifiers, which identifies the client and which the server?
- 2 (d) Describe the key exchange. How many messages where exchanged before the key exchange started? Which key exchange scheme was used? How is it authenticated?

## References

CHRISTOPHER SOGHOIAN & SID STAMM (2010). Certified Lies: Detecting and Defeating Government Interception Attacks Against SSL. URL <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1591033>.

MARC STEVENS, ALEXANDER SOTIROV, JACOB APPELBAUM, ARJEN LENSTRA, DAVID MOLNAR, DAG ARNE OSVIK & BENNE WEGER (2009). Short Chosen-Prefix Collisions for MD5 and the Creation of a Rogue CA Certificate. In *Proceedings of the 29th Annual International Cryptology Conference on Advances in Cryptology, CRYPTO '09*, 55–69. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg. ISBN 978-3-642-03355-1. URL [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03356-8\\_4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03356-8_4).