

Advanced cryptography: Pairing-based cryptography
winter term 2012/13

DANIEL LOEBENBERGER AND MICHAEL NÜSKEN

3. Exercise sheet

Hand in solutions until Monday, 12 November 2012, 23:59:59

Exercise 3.1 (Hands on: Miller).

(20+3 points)

Implement Miller's algorithm computing $\frac{f_P(Q_1)}{f_P(Q_2)}$ where $\text{div}(f_P) = \ell[P + R] - \ell[P] - \ell[R] + [\mathcal{O}]$ given $P, R, Q_1, Q_2 \in E$ and the desired index ℓ in a programming language/computer algebra system of your choice. Hint: Employ a system that can handle finite field and polynomial arithmetic. Then, first implement elliptic curve arithmetic (or find an appropriate library for that task) and afterwards realize Miller's algorithm. 20

Bonus task: Show (on paper) that running the algorithm is only a constant factor slower than a scalar multiplication. +3

Exercise 3.2 (Divisors of functions).

(10+3 points)

(i) Consider the function $f: \mathbb{P}^1\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1\mathbb{C}$, $x \mapsto \frac{(x-1)^2(x-5)}{x^3(x-2)^2(x-3)^2}$, where $\mathbb{P}^1\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$.

(a) Compute the value $f(\infty)$. If f has a zero or a pole compute its multiplicity. Hint: Consider $f(1/x)$ and evaluate at $x = 0$. 2

(b) Compute $\text{div}(f)$. 1

(ii) Consider now the function $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto x^2 + 1$.

(a) Compute $\text{div}(g)$. Hint: It is not zero. +2

(b) What is the divisor of g when we replace \mathbb{R} by $\mathbb{P}^1\mathbb{R}$? +1

(iii) You are given the divisor $D = [1] - 2[2] + 3[3] - 4[4] + 2[\infty]$. Find a function $h: \mathbb{P}^1\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1\mathbb{C}$, $x \mapsto h(x)$ with $\text{div}(h) = D$. 2

(iv) Explain why finding functions on the curve E with a prescribed divisor may be more difficult than over $\mathbb{P}^1\mathbb{C}$. 5

Exercise 3.3 (Security estimate).

(4+6 points)

The ElGamal signature scheme works over some publicly known group of (often prime) order ℓ , where ℓ has length n . In many cases this is a subgroup of some \mathbb{Z}_p^\times with another (larger) prime p ; then $\ell|(p-1)$. However, it is necessary for its security that it is difficult to compute a discrete logarithm in the group and also, if applicable, in the surrounding group \mathbb{Z}_p^\times . The best known discrete logarithm algorithms achieve the following (heuristic, expected) running times:

method	year	time for a group size of n -bit
brute force (any group)	$-\infty$	$\mathcal{O}^\sim(2^n)$
Baby-step Giant-step (any group)	1971	$\mathcal{O}^\sim(2^{n/2})$
Pollard's ρ method (any group)	1978	$\mathcal{O}(n^2 2^{n/2})$
Pohlig-Hellman (any group)	1978	$\mathcal{O}^\sim(2^{n/2})$
Index-Calculus for \mathbb{Z}_p^\times	1986	$2^{(\sqrt{2}+o(1))n^{1/2} \log_2^{1/2} n}$
Number-field sieve for \mathbb{Z}_p^\times	1990	$2^{((64/9)^{1/3}+o(1))n^{1/3} \log_2^{2/3} n}$

It is not correct to think of $o(1)$ as zero, but for the following rough estimates just do it. Estimate the time that would be needed to find a discrete logarithm in a group whose order has n -bits assuming the (strongest of the) above estimates are accurate with $o(1) = 0$ (which is wrong in practice!)

+1

(i) for $n = 1024$ (standard size),

+1

(ii) for $n = 2048$ (as required for Document Signer CA),

+1

(iii) for $n = 3072$ (as required for Country Signing CA).

Repeat the estimate assuming that for the given group only Pollard's ρ method is available, for example in case the group is a ℓ -element subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times or an elliptic curve,

+1

(iv) for $n = 160$,

+1

(v) for $n = 200$,

+1

(vi) for $n = 240$.

In April 2001 Reynald Lercier reported (<http://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/reynald.lercier/file/nmbrJL01a.html>) that they can solve a discrete logarithm problem modulo a 397-bit prime p within 10 weeks on a 525MHz computer.

4

(vii) Which bit size for the prime p is necessary to ensure that they cannot solve the DLP problem in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times given —say— 10'000 10GHz computers and 1 year (disregarding memory requirements).

[Note: The record for computing discrete logs in $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^\times$ lies at $n = 613$, see Antoine Joux <http://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/reynald.lercier/file/nmbrJL05a.html>.]