

# Esecurity: secure internet & e-voting, summer 2013

MICHAEL NÜSKEN

## 3. Exercise sheet

Hand in solutions until Monday, 29 April 2013, 10:00

**Exercise 3.1.**

(0 points)

-

**Exercise 3.2** (X.509).

(8 points)

Read RFC 5280 and answer the following questions:

- (i) What classes of certificates are there? 2
- (ii) What is the basic syntax of X.509 v3 certificates? Describe the Certificate Fields in detail. Which signature algorithms are supported? 2
- (iii) What is a trust anchor? Can one use different trust anchors? 2
- (iv) What conditions are satisfied by a prospective certification path in the path validation process? 2

**Exercise 3.3** (Security estimate).

(0+8 points)

RSA is a public-key encryption scheme that can also be used for generating signatures. It is necessary for its security that it is difficult to factor large numbers (which are a product of two primes). The best known factoring algorithms achieve the following (heuristic, expected) running times:

method	year	time for $n$ -bit integers
trial division	$-\infty$	$\mathcal{O}^{\sim}(2^{n/2})$
Pollard's $p-1$ method	1974	$\mathcal{O}^{\sim}(2^{n/4})$
Pollard's $\rho$ method	1975	$\mathcal{O}^{\sim}(2^{n/4})$
Pollard's and Strassen's method	1976	$\mathcal{O}^{\sim}(2^{n/4})$
Morrison's and Brillhart's continued fractions	1975	$2^{\mathcal{O}(1)n^{1/2} \log_2^{1/2} n}$
Dixon's random squares	1981	$2^{(\sqrt{2}+o(1))n^{1/2} \log_2^{1/2} n}$
Lenstra's elliptic curves method	1987	$2^{(1+o(1))n^{1/2} \log_2^{1/2} n}$
quadratic sieve		$2^{(1+o(1))n^{1/2} \log_2^{1/2} n}$
general number field sieve	1990	$2^{((64/9)^{1/3}+o(1))n^{1/3} \log_2^{2/3} n}$

It is not correct to think of  $o(1)$  as zero, but for the following rough estimates just do it, instead add a  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  factor. Factoring the 768-bit integer RSA-768 needed about 1500 2.2 GHz CPU years (ie. 1500 years on a single 2.2 GHz AMD CPU) using the general number field sieve. Estimate the time that would be needed to factor an  $n$ -bit RSA number assuming the above estimates are accurate with  $o(1) = 0$  (which is wrong in practice!)

- +1 (i) for  $n = 1024$  (standard RSA),
- +1 (ii) for  $n = 2048$  (as required for Document Signer CA),
- +1 (iii) for  $n = 3072$  (as required for Country Signing CA).
- +2 (iv) Now assume that the attacker has 1000 times as many computers and 1000 times as much time as in the factoring record. Which  $n$  should I choose to be just safe from this attacker?

Repeat the estimate assuming that only Pollard's  $\rho$  method is available

- +1 (v) for  $n = 1024$ ,
- +1 (vi) for  $n = 2048$ ,
- +1 (vii) for  $n = 3072$ .

Remark: The statistics for discrete logarithm algorithms are somewhat similar as long as we consider groups  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ . For elliptic curves (usually) only generic algorithms are available with running time  $2^{n/2}$ .