

The art of cryptography: Lattices and cryptography, summer 2013

PROF. DR. JOACHIM VON ZUR GATHEN, DR. DANIEL LOEBENBERGER

4. Exercise sheet

Hand in solutions until Sunday, 12 May 2013, 23:59h.

Exercise 4.1 (Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization). (15 points)

Consider the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization from the lecture. Let $b_1, \dots, b_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be linearly independent, and b_1^*, \dots, b_ℓ^* their Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization. For $0 \leq k \leq \ell$ let $U_k = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \mathbb{R}b_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be the \mathbb{R} -subspace spanned by b_1, \dots, b_k .

(i) Consider the vector space $V = \text{span}(B)$, spanned by the basis

$$B := \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Compute an orthogonal basis of V .

(ii) Show that $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \mathbb{R}b_i^* = U_k$.

(iii) Show that b_1^*, \dots, b_ℓ^* are pairwise orthogonal, that is, $b_i^* \star b_j^* = 0$ if $i \neq j$.

(iv) Show that b_k^* is the projection of b_k onto the orthogonal complement

$$U_{k-1}^\perp = \{b \in \mathbb{R}^n : b \star u = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U_{k-1}\}$$

of U_{k-1} , and hence in particular $\|b_k^*\| \leq \|b_k\|$.

(v) Show that $\det \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_\ell \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} b_1^* \\ \vdots \\ b_\ell^* \end{pmatrix}$.

(vi) Construct out of the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization procedure a method which returns an *orthonormal* basis, i.e. an orthogonal basis B^* , where we have for all b_i^* that $\|b_i^*\| = 1$.

Exercise 4.2 (Close vectors).

(5+12 points)

In the lecture we have seen an algorithm for computing an approximation to the closest vector problem.

(i) Consider the reduced basis $B := \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 & 4 \\ -2 & 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ and the vector $u = (8, 9, 10)$.

Trace the values of the algorithm by hand and give the approximate solution to the CVP.

(ii) Implement the algorithm in a programming language of your choice. Hand in the source code.

Exercise 4.3 (The gcd lattice revisited). (9 points)

We are now going to prove that for $\gamma > 2C$, the basis reductions will always compute the correct solution for the gcd lattice L from exercise 2.2.

- 1 (i) Show that every vector $v \in L$ is of the form $(v_1, v_2, \gamma(v_1a + v_2b))$.
- 1 (ii) Take any such vector with $v_1a + v_2b \neq 0$. Show that then $\|v\|^2 \geq \gamma^2$.
- 2 (iii) Now consider a reduced basis \bar{B} . We know from the lecture that we have $\|\bar{b}_1\| \leq \sqrt{2}\lambda_1(L)$, where $\lambda_1(L)$ is the length of a nonzero shortest vector in L . In particular it follows that $\|\bar{b}_1\| \leq \sqrt{2}\|v\|$ for any nonzero vector $v \in L$. Show that from that it follows that $\|\bar{b}_1\| \leq 2C$. Hint: Consider the vector $(-b, a, 0)$.
- 1 (iv) Conclude that for $\gamma > 2C$ the vector \bar{b}_1 is of the form $(x_1, x_2, 0)$.

We now know that we have a reduced basis $\bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & 0 \\ s & t & \pm\gamma g \end{bmatrix}$. Further we know from the lecture that there is a unimodular transformation U with $\bar{B} = UB$ with $U = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ s & t \end{bmatrix}$ such that $x_1t - x_2s = \pm 1$. The inverse is given as $U^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} t & x_2 \\ s & x_1 \end{bmatrix}$.

- 2 (v) Argue that we have $U[\gamma a, \gamma b]^T = [0, \gamma g]^T$ and conclude from it that $g = \pm \gcd(a, b)$.
- 2 (vi) Compare your result to the experiments you were doing in exercise 2.2.