

The art of cryptography, summer 2013

Lattices and cryptography

Prof. Dr. Joachim von zur Gathen
Dr. Daniel Loebenberger



ALGORITHM 1. Basis reduction.

Input: Linearly independent row vectors $a_1, \dots, a_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Output: A reduced basis b_1, \dots, b_ℓ of the lattice

$$L = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} \mathbb{Z}a_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

1. For $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ do $b_i \leftarrow a_i$.
2. Compute the GSO $B^* \in \mathbb{Q}^{\ell \times n}$, $M \in \mathbb{Q}^{\ell \times \ell}$,
3. $i \leftarrow 2$.
4. While $i \leq \ell$ do 5–10
5. For $j = i - 1, i - 2, \dots, 1$ do step 6–6
6. $b_i \leftarrow b_i - \lceil \mu_{ij} \rceil b_j$, update the GSO, { replacement step }
7. If $i > 1$ and $\|b_{i-1}^*\|^2 > 2\|b_i^*\|^2$ then
8. exchange b_{i-1} and b_i and update the GSO, { exchange step }
9. $i \leftarrow i - 1$.
10. Else $i \leftarrow i + 1$.
11. Return b_1, \dots, b_ℓ .

THEOREM 2. *Algorithm 1 correctly computes a reduced basis of $L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ and runs in polynomial time. It uses $O(n^4m)$ arithmetic operations on integers whose bit length is $O(nm)$, if the norm of each given generator for L has bit length at most m .*

LEMMA 3. *i. We consider one execution of step 6, for i, j with $1 \leq j < i \leq \ell$. Let $B, B^* \in \mathbb{Q}^{\ell \times n}, M \in \mathbb{Q}^{\ell \times \ell}$ and $C, C^* \in \mathbb{Q}^{\ell \times n}, N \in \mathbb{Q}^{\ell \times \ell}$ be the matrices of the b_k, b_k^*, μ_{kh} before and after the replacement, respectively, and $E = (e_{kh}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell \times \ell}$ the matrix which has $e_{kk} = 1$ for all k , $e_{ij} = -\lceil \mu_{ij} \rceil$, and $e_{kh} = 0$ otherwise. Then*

$$C = EB, C^* = B^* \text{ and } N = EM.$$

ii. The following invariant holds before each execution of step 6:

$$|\mu_{ih}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } j < h < i.$$

iii. The Gram-Schmidt orthogonal basis b_1^, \dots, b_ℓ^* does not change in step 6, and after the loop in steps 5–6 we have $|\mu_{ih}| \leq 1/2$ for $1 \leq h < i$.*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & 1 & \ddots & & & & & \vdots \\ \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \circ & \circ & \bullet & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & 1 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Figure: The effect of one replacement step on the μ_{ij} .

LEMMA 4. *Suppose that b_{i-1} and b_i are exchanged in step 8, and denote by c_1, \dots, c_ℓ and c_1^*, \dots, c_ℓ^* the values of the vectors and their Gram-Schmidt orthogonal basis after the exchange, respectively. Then*

- i. $c_k^* = b_k^*$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, \ell\} \setminus \{i-1, i\}$,
- ii. $\|c_{i-1}^*\|^2 < \frac{3}{4}\|b_{i-1}^*\|^2$,
- iii. $\|c_i^*\| \leq \|b_{i-1}^*\|$.

LEMMA 5. *At the beginning of each iteration of the loop in steps 4–10, the following invariants hold:*

$$|\mu_{kh}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ for } 1 \leq h < k < i, \quad \|b_{k-1}^*\|^2 \leq 2\|b_k^*\|^2 \text{ for } 1 \leq k < i.$$

At any stage in the algorithm and for $1 \leq k \leq \ell$, we consider the matrix

$$B_k = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_k \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^{k \times n}$$

comprising the first k vectors, their Gram matrix

$B_k \cdot B_k^T = (b_j \star b_h)_{1 \leq j, h \leq k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{k \times k}$, and the *Gram determinant* $d_k = \det(B_k \cdot B_k^T) \in \mathbb{Z}$. For convenience, we let $d_0 = 1$.

LEMMA 6. For $1 \leq k \leq \ell$, we have $d_k = \prod_{1 \leq h \leq k} \|b_h^*\|^2 > 0$.

LEMMA 7. i. *In steps 5–6, none of the d_k changes.*

ii. *If b_{i-1} and b_i are exchanged in step 7–10 and d_k^* denotes the new value of d_k , for any k , then $d_k^* = d_k$ for $k \neq i - 1$ and $d_{i-1}^* \leq \frac{3}{4}d_{i-1}$.*

step	$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \mu_{21} & \\ \mu_{31} & \mu_{32} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \ b_1^*\ ^2 \\ \ b_2^*\ ^2 \\ \ b_3^*\ ^2 \end{pmatrix}$	d_1, d_2 D	action
4	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \\ \frac{14}{3} & \frac{13}{14} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ \frac{14}{3} \\ \frac{9}{14} \end{pmatrix}$	3, 14 42	rep(3, 2)
4	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \\ \frac{13}{3} & -\frac{1}{14} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ \frac{14}{3} \\ \frac{9}{14} \end{pmatrix}$	3, 14 42	rep(3, 1)
5	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{14} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ \frac{14}{3} \\ \frac{9}{14} \end{pmatrix}$	3, 14 42	ex(3, 2)
5	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \\ \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{9}{2} \end{pmatrix}$	3, 2 6	ex(2, 1)
4	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ \frac{9}{2} \end{pmatrix}$	1, 2 2	rep(2, 1)
6	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ \frac{9}{2} \end{pmatrix}$	1, 2 2	

Table: Trace of the basis reduction μ Algorithm 1 on the lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}(1, 1, 1) + \mathbb{Z}(-1, 0, 2) + \mathbb{Z}(3, 5, 6)$.

COROLLARY 8. *Given linearly independent vectors $a_1, \dots, a_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ whose norm has bit length at most m , the basis reduction algorithm Algorithm 1 computes a reduced basis b_1, \dots, b_ℓ of $L = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} \mathbb{Z}a_i$. Furthermore, b_1 is a “short” nonzero vector in L with*

$$\|b_1\| \leq 2^{(\ell-1)/2} \lambda_1(L) = 2^{(\ell-1)/2} \min\{\|y\| : 0 \neq y \in L\}.$$

It uses $O(n^6 m^3)$ bit operations.