

5. Exercise sheet

Hand in solutions until Saturday, 30 November 2013, 23:59:59

Exercise 5.1 (Reductions for RSA). (7+1030 points)

We consider as an attacker a (probabilistic) polynomial-time computer \mathcal{A} . \mathcal{A} knows $\text{pk} = (N, e)$ and $y = \text{enc}_{\text{pk}}(x)$. There are several notions of “breaking RSA”. \mathcal{A} might be able to compute from its knowledge one of the following data.

B_1 : the plaintext x ,

B_2 : the hidden part d of the secret key $\text{sk} = (N, d)$,

B_3 : the value $\varphi(N)$ of Euler’s totient function,

B_4 : a factor p (and q) of N .

If A and B are two computational problems (given by an input/output specification), then a *random polynomial-time reduction* from A to B is a random polynomial-time algorithm for A which is allowed to make calls to an (unspecified) subroutine for B . The cost of such a call is the combined input plus output length in the call. If such a reduction exists, we write

$$A \leq_p B.$$

- (i) Show that $B_1 \leq_p B_2$. 2
- (ii) Show that $B_2 \leq_p B_3$. 2
- (iii) Show that $B_3 \leq_p B_4$. 2
- (iv) Which problem is the easiest one? Which one is most difficult? 1
- (v) Show that additionally we have $B_4 \leq_p B_3$. Hint: Consider the quadratic polynomial $(x - p)(x - q) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. +2
- (vi) Argue that we also have $B_3 \leq_p B_2$. +4
- (vii) Resolve the question whether also $B_2 \leq_p B_1$ or equivalently whether $B_4 \leq_p B_1$. Warning: This is an open research problem... +1024

Exercise 5.2 (RSA bad choice).

(6 points)

Show why the 35-bit integer 23360947609 is a particularly bad choice for $N = pq$.

We claim that two prime numbers which are really close to each other are bad choices for RSA system. To show this we use Fermat's factorization method based on the fact: If $N = pq$ with $p > q$ being odd primes, then $N = (\frac{p+q}{2})^2 - (\frac{p-q}{2})^2$.

- 4 (i) Explain how you can use this fact to find prime factors of N .
- 2 (ii) Do it for $N = 23360947609$.

Exercise 5.3 (Primality Testing).

(10+10 points)

In this exercise we put hands on the primality tests discussed in the lecture.

- 3 (i) Implement the Fermat test in a programming language of your choice.
- 3 (ii) Implement the Strong pseudoprimal test in a programming language of your choice.

Now, let's run it! Execute the Strong pseudoprimal test with

- 1 (iii) $N = 41, x = 2$.
- 1 (iv) $N = 57, x = 37$.
- 1 (v) $N = 1105, x = 47$.
- 1 (vi) $N = 1105, x = 2$.

With our implementation running, we can now perform several experiments.

- +2 (vii) Compute the number of Fermat liars for $N = 35$, i.e. the number of choices $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ for which the Fermat test returns " N is possibly prime".
- +2 (viii) Compute the number of Strong liars for $N = 35$, i.e. the number of choices $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ for which the Strong primality test returns " N is probably prime".
- +2 (ix) Do the same for $N = 561$.
- +2 (x) Perform more experiments.
- +2 (xi) Interpret the results.