Esecurity: secure internet & e-passports, summer 2014 MICHAEL NÜSKEN

2. Exercise sheet Hand in solutions until Monday, 21 April 2014, 23:59

Exercise 2.1 (GnuPG).

- (i) Which cryptographic algorithms are implemented in GnuPG? How is the 3 idea of a hybrid crypto system implemented in GnuPG?
- (ii) Read PHONG Q. NGUYEN, *Can We Trust Cryptographic Software? Cryp-* <u>13</u> *tographic Flaws in GNU Privacy Guard v1.2.3.* How does the used implementation for RSA differ from the textbook version? What are the consequences?
- (iii) Consider the model of trust in GnuPG. Describe how trust is transfered 4 (ie. which keys are trusted?). Which parameters can be adjusted?

Exercise 2.2 (Hybrid crypto).

(14+2 points)

Consider the situation in the exercises 1.2 and 1.3 from the last sheet. Eve has eavesdropped the conversation between Alice and Bob. She has recorded the RSA-cypher text $c = \text{enc}_{(N,e)}(k)$ of the AES key k. She tries the following attack to recover k from c. We consider an attack as successful if it takes less than 2^{100} bit operations.

- (i) How could Eve recover *k* if she tries all possible values? Is this a successful attack?
- (ii) Eve computes $cx^{-e} \mod N$ and y^e for all $1 \le x, y \le 2^{64}$ and stores these 4 values in two lists. How can Eve recover *k* from these lists? Is this a successful attack?
- (iii) The attack in (ii) may fail in some situations. In which does it fail? What 2+2 is the probability of failing?
- (iv) Eve finds that e = 3. Can she successfully recover k even if the attack in [3] (ii) fails?
- (v) How can one fix the vulnerability in the way RSA and AES is employed 3 by Alice and Bob?

(10 points)

Exercise 2.3 (Security estimate).

(0+5 points)

RSA is a public-key encryption scheme that can also be used for generating signatures. It is necessary for its security that it is difficult to factor large numbers (which are a product of two primes). The best known factoring algorithms achieve the following (heuristic, expected) running times:

method	year	time for <i>n</i> -bit integers
trial division	$-\infty$	$\mathcal{O}^{\sim}\left(2^{n/2} ight)$
Pollard's $p-1$ method	1974	$\mathcal{O}^{\sim}(2^{n/4})$
Pollard's ρ method	1975	$\mathcal{O}^{\sim}(2^{n/4})$
Pollard's and Strassen's method	1976	$\mathcal{O}^{\sim}(2^{n/4})$
Morrison's and Brillhart's continued fraction	ons 1975	$2^{\mathcal{O}(1)n^{1/2}\log_2^{1/2}n}$
Dixon's random squares	1981	$2^{(\sqrt{2}+o(1))n^{1/2}\log_2^{1/2}n}$
Lenstra's elliptic curves method	1987	$2^{(1+o(1))n^{1/2}\log_2^{1/2}n}$
quadratic sieve		$2^{(1+o(1))n^{1/2}\log_2^{1/2}n}$
general number field sieve	1990	$2^{((64/9)^{1/3} + o(1))n^{1/3}\log_2^{2/3}n}$

It is not correct to think of o(1) as zero, but for the following rough estimates just do it, instead add a O(1) factor. Factoring the 768-bit integer RSA-768 needed about 1500 2.2 GHz CPU years (ie. 1500 years on a single 2.2 GHz AMD CPU) using the general number field sieve. Estimate the time that would be needed to factor an *n*-bit RSA number assuming the above estimates are accurate with o(1) = 0 (which is wrong in practice!)

- (i) for n = 1024 (standard RSA),
- (ii) for n = 2048 (as required for Document Signer CA),
- (iii) for n = 3072 (as required for Country Signing CA).
- (iv) Now assume that the attacker has 1000 times as many computers and 1000 times as much time as in the factoring record. Which *n* should I choose to be just safe from this attacker?

Remark: The statistics for discrete logarithm algorithms are somewhat similar as long as we consider groups \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} . For elliptic curves (usually) only generic algorithms are available with running time $2^{n/2}$.

+1	
+1	
+1	
+2	