

Esecurity: secure internet & e-passports,
summer 2014

MICHAEL NÜSKEN

14. Exam preparation sheet
No handin

The following is a loose collection of exercises, which you can solve to prepare for the exam. Note that this is not an example of how the exam could look like. The exam will differ in length. The exam may ask about any topic of the course even if that should be missing here.

Exercise 14.1 (Security notion). (0 points)

Prove or disprove the following statements:

- (i) Existential Unforgeability implies Universal Unforgeability.
- (ii) Security under a Chosen Ciphertext Attack implies security under a Key Only Attack.
- (iii) If factorization of integers is hard then the RSA signature scheme (without hashing) is EUF-CMA secure.
- (iv) If factorization of integers is hard then RSA encryption scheme is IND-KOA secure.
- (v) If factorization of integers is easy then RSA encryption scheme is UB-KOA insecure.
- (vi) If the discrete logarithm problem for a group G is hard then the ElGamal encryption scheme with underlying group G is UB-KOA secure.

Exercise 14.2. (0 points)

Describe the role of randomness in cryptographic schemes. What happens if we use a predictable pseudo random number generator?

Exercise 14.3. (0 points)

- (i) What does it mean if an encryption scheme provides n bit security.
- (ii) Assume a given public key encryption scheme gives n bit security and a given private key encryption scheme gives m bit security. How many bits security does a hybrid scheme, which makes use of these both encryption schemes, provide at most. Is this upper bound always achieved?

(iii) What are the pros and cons for hybrid encryption schemes.

Exercise 14.4. (0 points)

Describe the public key infrastructure of X.509.

Exercise 14.5. (0 points)

Describe the public key infrastructure of GnuPG (web of trust).

Exercise 14.6. (0 points)

- (i) Is the Diffie-Hellman key exchange vulnerable to man-in-the-middle attacks? Explain.
- (ii) How could one modify the protocol to prevent man-in-the-middle attacks?

Exercise 14.7. (0 points)

Consider the following modified Diffie-Hellman protocol. It makes use of a secure signature scheme.

Protocol DH+sign+ack. Signed and acknowledged Diffie-Hellman key exchange.

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Alice chooses $a \in \mathbb{N}_{<d}$, computes aP and signs $['Alice', aP]$. 2. Bob chooses $b \in \mathbb{N}_{<d}$, computes bP and signs $['Bob', bP]$. 3. Alice computes $a(bP) = abP$ and a hash. 4. Bob computes $b(aP) = abP$ and a hash. | $\xrightarrow{['Alice', aP]_{Alice}}$
$\xleftarrow{['Bob', bP]_{Bob}}$
$\xrightarrow{hash(0, abP)}$
$\xleftarrow{hash(1, abP)}$ |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

- (i) Is this protocol secure against man-in-the-middle attacks?
- (ii) Does it provide perfect forward security?
- (iii) Is it secure against replay attacks?

Exercise 14.8 (Right or Wrong). (0 points)

Are the following statements right or wrong?

- (i) ElGamal encryption scheme with $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$ is UB-KOA secure.
- (ii) ElGamal encryption scheme with $(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times, \cdot)$ and p being an appropriate 160 bit number gives 80 bit security.
- (iii) Combining ECDSA over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{512}}$ with SHA-256 gives 256 bit security.
- (iv) If factoring integers is hard, then RSA is UB-KOA secure.
- (v) The cryptographic schemes used in IPsec are negotiated between the client and the host.
- (vi) After communicating to Bob via IPsec, Alice can prove that Bob has actually said what he had said.
- (vii) Encryption provides integrity.
- (viii) Encryption provides authenticity.
- (ix) Encryption provides confidentiality.
- (x) A terminal can access an e-Passport only if it knows the MRZ (or at least certain parts of the MRZ).

Exercise 14.9. (0 points)

Assume we have a database with 1000 entries. There are different users who have limited access to this database. We want to provide integrity by a cryptographic signature scheme.

- (i) If we sign each possible combination of entries, how many signatures do we produce? Does this introduce some security concerns?
- (ii) How can we provide integrity by producing only one signature?

Note. *The following questions have been given by students as solution of Exercise 13.4.*

Exercise 14.10. (0 points)

Describe the public key (for example the X.509 standard) infrastructure and comment on its downsides.

Exercise 14.11. (0 points)

Explain the differences between IPsec and Transport Layer Security (TLS) focusing on the difference between their key exchange mechanism.

Exercise 14.12. (0 points)

Distinguish between the IPsec transport mode and the IPsec tunnel mode? Explain their operating principle.

Exercise 14.13. (0 points)

Let h be a hash function. For a message m first hash it and then sign $h(m)$ with RSA. Prove if the hashed RSA signature scheme is existentially unforgeable, then h is inversion resistant.

Exercise 14.14. (0 points)

Explain how a TLS connection is established?