

Esecurity: secure internet & e-cash, summer 2015

MICHAEL NÜSKEN

4. Exercise sheet

Hand in solutions until Monday, 4 Mai 2015, 11:59

Exercise 4.1 (Security reduction). (6 points)

For a signature scheme, a message is first hashed and then the hash value is signed. Assume that the signature scheme is secure in the EUF-CMA model. Does that imply that the hash function is collision resistant? Formulate a precise statement and prove your answer. 6

Exercise 4.2 (Another security notion). (8 points)

Consider a function $t: \{0, 1\}^k \times \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ that produces an n -bit tag given a key of length k for an arbitrarily long message. An attacker \mathcal{A} on its IND-CMA-security obtains a tagging oracle that on input m_i outputs $t(k, m_i)$ and a challenge oracle that on input m_0^* and m_1^* picks a random bit $b \leftarrow_{\text{rand}} \{0, 1\}$ and outputs $t(k, m_b^*)$. The attacker finally outputs a bit $b' \in \{0, 1\}$. The attacker is successful if $b = b'$ and the tagging oracle has been queried neither on m_0^* nor on m_1^* . Its advantage is $\text{adv}_{\text{IND-CCA}}(\mathcal{A}) := \text{prob}(\mathcal{A} \text{ successful}) - \frac{1}{2}$. The attacker \mathcal{A} is a (t, ε) -attacker if its runtime is at most t and its advantage is at least ε .

A certain iterative hash function $h: \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ is defined by a compression function $c: \{0, 1\}^N \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$, $N = 2n$, as follows: Let \cdot denote concatenation of bit strings and for a bit string m we write $m_{a..b}$ for the substring consisting of bits a through $b - 1$ of m . Now for messages m of length ℓ , $h(m) := h(c(m_{0..N}).m_{N..l})$ if $\ell > N$ and $h(m) := c(m.0_{0..N-l})$ if $\ell \leq N$.

- (i) Assume $t(k, m) = h(m.k)$ where h is the previously defined hash function. Suppose you have a collision of h . Construct a (t, ε) -attacker on the IND-CMA-security of t . 6
- (ii) Assume $t(k, m) = h(k.m)$ where h is the previously defined hash function. Construct a (t, ε) -attacker on the IND-CCA-security of t . 6

Hint: Is $t(k, m.m')$ related to $t(k, m)$, say in case n divides the length ℓ of m ?

Exercise 4.3 (ElGamal encryption is IND-KOA secure if ...). (0+18 points)

Let $G = \langle g \rangle$ be a cyclic group. In this exercise we prove that the ElGamal encryption scheme is IND-KOA secure if the decisional Diffie–Hellman problem (DDH) is hard in the underlying group G .

- +2 (i) Describe the ElGamal encryption scheme (in your words).

Let \mathcal{A} be an IND-KOA attacker of ElGamal. That is \mathcal{A} is called with a key A ; interacts with a challenger \mathcal{C} by sending two messages $x_1, x_2 \in G$ and receiving a challenge $(B, E) \in G^2$, this is an encryption $(B, x_b \cdot K)$ of x_b for $b \xleftarrow{\$} \{0, 1\}$ with $B = g^b$ and $K = A^b$; and finally outputs $b' \in \{0, 1\}$. We call \mathcal{A} successful if $b = b'$.

- +4 (ii) Give an algorithm that calls \mathcal{A} and solves the DDH in G . That is an algorithm with input $A = g^a, B = g^b$, and $C \in G$ and output TRUE if $C = g^{ab}$ and FALSE otherwise.

Hint: The algorithm should call \mathcal{A} with a certain input, simulate the challenger (receive x_1, x_2 from \mathcal{A} and send back a challenge), and output TRUE or FALSE depending on the output of \mathcal{A} .

- +4 (iii) Prove that your algorithm returns TRUE on input $A = g^a, B = g^b, C = g^{ab} \in G$ if \mathcal{A} is successful.

- +4 (iv) Prove that your algorithm returns FALSE on input $A = g^a, B = g^b, C \neq g^{ab} \in G$ with probability $1/2$.

Hint: Choose the challenge randomly.

- +2 (v) Assume \mathcal{A} succeeds with probability p . What is the success probability of your algorithm if for an input $A = g^a, B = g^b, C$, in half of all cases $C = g^{ab}$ holds?

- +2 (vi) Assume that DDH is hard in G and conclude that ElGamal is IND-KOA secure.